

FILED & ENTERED

MAY 31 2019

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY tatum DEPUTY CLERK

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES DIVISION**

In re:

ANTHONY CURTIS WELLS,
Debtor.

Case No. 2:16-bk-18163-RK

Chapter 7

**MEMORANDUM DECISION ON FIRST
AND FINAL APPLICATION FOR AWARD
OF COMPENSATION OF DANNING,
GILL, DIAMOND & KOLLITZ, LLP, AS
GENERAL COUNSEL TO CHAPTER 7
TRUSTEE**

Pending before the court is the First and Final Application For Award of Compensation of Danning, Gill, Diamond & Kollitz, LLP ("DGDK"), as General Counsel to Chapter 7 Trustee ("Fee Application"), filed on October 8, 2018, Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF") 145, which is a contested matter within the meaning of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014. DGDK seeks fees in the amount of \$206,455.50 and expense reimbursement in the amount of \$3,406.17, for the period of September 15, 2016, through the closing of the bankruptcy case.

Having considered the Fee Application, the opposition filed by a creditor of the bankruptcy estate, Jose L. Dumas ("Dumas"), ECF 158 (the "Opposition"), the further briefing submitted by the parties, and the record before the court, the court hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable here by Rules 7052 and 9014(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

I. BACKGROUND

On June 20, 2016, Debtor Anthony Curtis Wells ("Debtor") commenced this bankruptcy case by filing a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C., Case No. 2:16-bk-18163-RK, ECF 1. Richard K. Diamond was appointed Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate. On October 5, 2016, Trustee filed his application to employ DGDK as general bankruptcy counsel, ECF 43, which he amended on November 1, 2016, ECF 54. The court approved DGDK's amended employment application by order entered November 28, 2016. ECF 63.

On January 15, 2019, Creditor Dumas, through his counsel John Clark Brown, Jr., Attorney at Law, filed his Opposition to the Fee Application. ECF 158. Dumas obtained a state court judgment of almost \$1.5 million against Debtor and brought a separate state court fraudulent transfer action against Debtor and his wife, which was pending at the time that Debtor filed this bankruptcy case, and also obtained summary judgment in an adversary proceeding he brought in this case against Debtor for nondischargeability of a debt pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523. See Adversary Proceeding No. 2:16-ap-01341-RK. In his opposition, Dumas does not object to the entire amount of \$206,455.50 in fees and \$3,406.17 in costs sought by DGDK, but to the amount of \$60,855.50 in fees for three categories of work relating to the Trustee's adversary proceeding to set aside fraudulent transfer by Debtor to his wife of his interest in their condo, Adversary Proceeding No. 2:16-ap-01440-RK, the state court fraudulent transfer action brought by Dumas that the Trustee had intervened in and the Trustee's participation in proceedings relating to stay relief obtained by Dumas on the grounds that: "(1) they are for services which were unnecessary; (2) they conferred no benefit on the estate or Dumas, who is the only significant creditor of the estate; and (3) the fees are grossly disproportionate to Dumas' recovery because they equal two-thirds of Dumas' total recovery in this case." See ECF 158 at 4. In this regard, "Dumas does not object to any of the \$60,855.50 of fees on the

1 grounds the Trustee [i.e., Trustee's counsel, DGDK] spent too much time or did not
2 competently provide the services for which he seeks compensation or was successful."
3 *Id.* at 2. Rather, Dumas simply argues that the objections to the fees should be sustained
4 for the three reasons stated above, the services were unnecessary, the services did not
5 benefit the estate or Creditor Dumas and the fees were grossly disproportionate to
6 Dumas's recovery. *Id.* at 2.

7 Dumas argues that the services were unnecessary because the Trustee by
8 bringing the adversary proceeding for fraudulent transfer was seeking the same relief as
9 Dumas already had brought in his state court fraudulent transfer action, which Brown had
10 fully prepared for trial, and later obtained authorization to employ Dumas's counsel,
11 Brown, as special litigation counsel who actually prosecuted Dumas's state court
12 fraudulent transfer action on behalf of the bankruptcy estate since Dumas's fraudulent
13 transfer action became property of the estate when the bankruptcy case was filed, and
14 thus, unnecessary because the Trustee should have consulted with Dumas's counsel,
15 Brown, to discuss the most efficient way to litigate available fraudulent transfer claims
16 against Debtor, which the Trustee did not do before he brought the adversary proceeding.
17 ECF 158 at 2-10. Moreover, the Trustee's fraudulent transfer adversary proceeding was
18 stayed pending the resolution of the state court fraudulent transfer action that Clark was
19 handling for the estate as special litigation counsel. *Id.*

20 Dumas further argues that the fees for DGDK's participation in the state court
21 fraudulent transfer action are not justified because there was no need for DGDK to
22 supervise Brown who was employed as special litigation counsel to prosecute that action
23 on behalf of the estate, the appearances made by DGDK in the state court action were
24 unnecessary since Dumas had agreed that the Trustee could intervene in the action and
25 that DGDK's work in the action was minor, consisting of revising the proposed judgment,
26 which did not result in any benefit to the estate. *Id.* at 10.

27 Dumas also argues that the fees for DGDK's work on relief from stay was not
28 reasonably necessary because its material participation was only to obtain a modification

1 of Dumas's proposed stay relief order not objected to by Dumas to provide that the court
2 was not making a determination that the fraudulent transfer claims were not property of
3 the bankruptcy estate. *Id.* at 11-12.

4 On January 22, 2019, DGDK filed a reply to the Opposition ("Reply"). ECF 162.

5 The court conducted a hearing on the Fee Application on January 29, 2019, at 2:30
6 p.m. Aaron E. de Leest, of DGDK, appeared for DGDK. John Clark Brown, Jr., Attorney
7 at Law, appeared for Dumas. Gary A. Laff, of the Law Offices of Gary A. Laff, appeared
8 for Debtor. Debtor did not object to or argue on the Fee Application. In advance of the
9 hearing, the court posted its tentative ruling indicating that it would set a trial date to
10 determine disputed issues of material fact arising from Dumas's Opposition. Mr. de Leest,
11 on behalf of DGDK, and Mr. Brown, on behalf of Dumas, stated their positions that a trial
12 was unnecessary in this matter because the parties did not dispute the evidence in the
13 record; rather, the dispute is about what inferences the court should draw from the
14 evidence submitted in support of and in opposition to the fee application. The court
15 authorized Dumas to file a sur-reply to DGDK's Reply and DGDK to file a response to the
16 sur-reply. On February 8, 2019, Dumas filed his sur-reply to DGDK's Reply. ECF 162.
17 On February 15, 2019, DGDK filed its response to Dumas's sur-reply. ECF 164. In
18 considering the Fee Application, the court has considered the objections by Creditor
19 Dumas and Applicant DGDK's responses thereto as well as conducting its own
20 independent review and analysis of the Fee Application as required under 11 U.S.C.
21 §330.

22 II. JURISDICTION

23 This court has jurisdiction over this contested matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
24 § 1334(b). Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409(a). This is a contested matter
25 within the meaning of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014. This contested matter
26 is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A), (b)(2)(B), and (b)(2)(O).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III. DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review of Fees under 11 U.S.C. § 330

The court has an independent duty to review DGDK's applications for reasonableness under 11 U.S.C. § 330. "The bankruptcy court has a duty to review fee applications notwithstanding the absence of objections by the trustee, debtor, or creditors." *Lobel & Opera, APC v. U.S. Trustee (In re Auto Parts Club, Inc.)*, 211 B.R. 29, 33 (9th Cir. BAP 1997) (citing *In re Busy Beaver Building Centers, Inc.*, 19 F.3d 833, 841 (3d Cir. 1994)).

Under 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(4)(A)(ii), "the court shall not allow compensation for . . . services that were not (I) reasonably likely to benefit the debtor's estate; or (II) necessary to the administration of the case."

When determining the amount of reasonable fees, the court's "examination . . . should include the following questions: First, were the services authorized? Second, were the services necessary or beneficial to the administration of the estate at the time they were rendered? Third, are the services adequately documented? Fourth, are the fees requested reasonable, taking into consideration the factors set forth in § 330(a)(3)? Finally . . . the court must [also consider] whether the professional exercised reasonable billing judgment." *MPC Corporation et al. v. Bergen Brunswick Drug Company (In re Mednet)*, 251 B.R. 103, 108 (9th Cir. BAP 2000). A professional need only prove that their services were "reasonably likely" to benefit the estate at the time the services were rendered. *Id.*

In determining fees allowed to a professional of a bankruptcy estate, the court should examine "all relevant factors," including: (A) the time spent on the services; (B) the rates charged for the services; (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of the case or beneficial at the time the services were rendered toward completion of the case; (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed; (E) with respect to a professional person, whether the

1 person is board certified or has otherwise demonstrated skill and experience in the
2 bankruptcy field; and (F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the
3 customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in nonbankruptcy
4 cases. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3).

5 A bankruptcy court has broad discretion to determine the number of hours
6 reasonably expended. *Wechsler v. Macke International Trade, Inc. (In re Macke*
7 *International Trade, Inc.)*, 370 B.R. 236, 254 (9th Cir. BAP 2007). "[E]ven where evidence
8 supports [that] a particular number of hours [were] worked, the court may give credit for
9 fewer hours if the time claimed is 'excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary.'" *Id.*
10 (quoting *Dawson v. Washington Mutual Bank, F.A. (In re Dawson)*, 390 F.3d 1139, 1152
11 (9th Cir. 2004)).

12 Before the court can determine whether certain services were actual and
13 necessary, and in turn if the services were reasonable, the court must first determine
14 whether or not the services were compensable. *Unsecured Creditors' Committee v. Puget*
15 *Sound Plywood, Inc.*, 924 F.2d 955, 957-958 (9th Cir. 1991). "A finding of compensability
16 merely means the services performed were properly charged as legal services, as
17 opposed to administrative or otherwise nonlegal services." *Id.* at 958.

18 "If the evidence supporting a fee application 'is too vague or insufficient to allow for
19 a fair evaluation of the work done and the reasonableness and necessity for such work,
20 the court should disallow compensation for such services.'" *In re Las Vegas Monorail Co.*,
21 458 B.R. 553, 557 (Bankr. D. Nev. 2011) (quoting *In re Bennett Funding Group, Inc.*, 213
22 B.R. 234, 245 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1997)).

23 "Professionals have an obligation to exercise billing judgment." *Lobel & Opera,*
24 *APC v. U.S. Trustee (In re Auto Parts Club, Inc.)*, 211 B.R. 29, 33-34 (9th Cir. BAP 1997)
25 (citing *Unsecured Creditors' Committee v. Puget Sound Plywood, Inc.*, 924 F.2d at 959).

26 "The customary method for assessing an attorney's fee application in bankruptcy is
27 the 'lodestar,' under which 'the number of hours reasonably expended' is multiplied by 'a
28

reasonable hourly rate' for the person providing the services." *Eliapo v. Devin Derhham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 598 (9th Cir. 2006) (citations omitted).

"The burden is upon the applicant to demonstrate that the fees are reasonable." *Shalaby v. Mansdorf (In re Nakhuda)*, 544 B.R. 886, 902 (9th Cir. BAP 2016) (citing *Hale v. U.S. Trustee (In re Basham)*, 208 B.R. 926, 931-32 (9th Cir. BAP 1997)).

B. The Court Will Disallow Fees That Were Neither Reasonably Likely to Benefit the Estate Nor Necessary to Case Administration.

The court has reviewed the billing entries in the Fee Application and determined that although Dumas did not object to DGDK's lodestar fee computations specifically, the general gist of his objections is well taken. The professionals at DGDK frequently billed the estate for discussions among each other that were not necessary to the administration of the case. For example, in the billing entries for Litigation 1 and 2, the Trustee's fraudulent transfer adversary proceeding and the Trustee's prosecution of the state court fraudulent transfer action, there are multiple duplicative entries where more than one attorney in the same meeting has billed for time spent in the meeting. Further, the invoices are replete with entries where Attorney Howard Kollitz billed for certain services, at a partner billing rate, while Attorney Aaron E. de Leest engaged in these same services at an associate billing rate. Finally, there are multiple entries where attorneys billed for performing clerical work that should have been performed by professionals other than attorneys at DGDK. While the court has no qualms about the competence of the Trustee's counsel at DGDK, the court determines that there was no need for two attorneys doing the work which is subject to Dumas's objections, and it was enough to have the lower billing associate attorney handle the work for the Trustee without supervision or assistance of the higher billing partner attorney in this relatively simple and straightforward case in which Brown as special litigation counsel was handling for the estate.

Attached as **Exhibit 1** to this Memorandum Decision is a listing of the billing entries from the Fee Application with the court's rulings written in **bold**. The court disallows certain billing entries related to researching, drafting, and revising the Trustee's fraudulent

1 transfer complaint against Debtor. The complaint involved a simple fraudulent transfer
2 claim that Debtor transferred his interest to his wife of his interest in their condo, which
3 was based on an existing complaint of Dumas pending in state court involving the same
4 facts and basic legal issues. The court also disallows certain billing entries where the
5 estate was billed for the task of two attorneys conferring among themselves which were
6 not reasonably necessary. Such tasks were not likely to benefit the estate nor necessary
7 to the administration of the case because only one attorney, if any, was needed to
8 represent Trustee in a basic adversary proceeding about a simple fraudulent transfer
9 action. The Trustee filed an adversary proceeding to set aside the fraudulent transfer of
10 Debtor's interest to his wife in their condo, which essentially duplicated or mimicked the
11 existing action brought by Dumas in state court, which Dumas's counsel, Brown, had
12 already prepared for trial, and the Trustee eventually determined that it made sense to
13 allow Dumas's counsel to complete the existing litigation in state court, even after the
14 Trustee's counsel, DGDK, had prepared the adversary complaint for the estate. Although
15 Dumas's argument that the Trustee and his counsel, DGDK, should have consulted with
16 Dumas and his counsel, Brown, before the Trustee and DGDK initiated the adversary
17 proceeding for fraudulent transfer, there is some justification for the work that DGDK did in
18 protecting the interest of the estate regarding the fraudulent transfer claims against Debtor
19 at the Trustee's behest because as indicated by his stay relief motion, Dumas was
20 proceeding unilaterally to prosecute his fraudulent transfer claims in state court on his
21 behalf and not on the estate's behalf, even through the claims belonged to the estate after
22 Debtor commenced his bankruptcy case, and the Trustee and Dumas had not reached
23 any agreement for allowing Brown to act as special litigation counsel for the estate. It can
24 thus be equally said that while the Trustee did not first consult Dumas's counsel about
25 how efficiently to prosecute the fraudulent transfer claims against Debtor, neither did
26 Dumas and his counsel first consult the Trustee and his general bankruptcy counsel,
27 DGDK, about efficiently prosecuting these claims on behalf of the estate. Thus, the court
28 rejects Dumas's contention that none of the fees for services performed by DGDK for the

Trustee were reasonably necessary. Moreover, the Trustee was reasonably justified in having DGDK represent him in the state court litigation before he and Dumas and his counsel, Brown, reached an agreement for Brown to act as special litigation counsel for the estate in completing the state court litigation on behalf of the estate and in having DGDK monitor the state court litigation on his behalf. As to the stay relief matter, the Trustee is reasonably justified in having DGDK, his general bankruptcy counsel, make sure that the order for stay relief obtained by Dumas did not contain language which unduly prejudiced the rights of the bankruptcy estate. However, having said this, this does not mean that all of the fees requested by DGDK were reasonable, and the court as reflected in its detailed rulings on specific billing entries has reviewed and analyzed each and every billing entry which Dumas has objected to and determined the reasonableness of the work performed by DGDK entry by entry.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the court approves in part and disapproved in part DGDK's Fee Application. As set forth in **Exhibit 1** attached to this Memorandum Decision, of the \$60,855.50 in fees objected to by Dumas, the court allows fees of \$33,045.00 and disallows fees of \$27,810.50. Thus, the court allows a total fee amount of \$178,645.00 on DGDK's Fee Application and allows the entirety of the expenses requested in the amount of \$3,406.17. In determining the appropriate amount for professional fees, the court has analyzed the billing statements submitted by DGDK. A detailed list of all billing entries disallowed by the court can be found in **Exhibit 1** attached to this Memorandum Decision.

This Memorandum Decision constitutes the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law. DGDK must promptly lodge a separate order on DGDK's Fee Application partially awarding DGDK's fees and expenses as set forth in this Memorandum Decision.

Since the court's Memorandum Decision and order resolving Dumas's objections to the final fee application of DGDK also resolves the outstanding objections to the Trustee's final report and the other fee applications, which were not objected to and allowed on an

1 interim basis by a prior order, the Trustee is authorized and directed to promptly submit a
2 proposed order approving his final report and the fee applications of his professionals on a
3 final basis because the court has now reviewed the Trustee's final report and the fee
4 applications of his professionals on a final basis and determines that the final report and
5 fee applications should be approved for the reasons stated in the final report and fee
6 applications and for lack of timely written objections, except as modified by the court's
7 rulings on Dumas's objections to the fee application of DGDK herein.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 ###

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Date: May 31, 2019



Robert Kwan
United States Bankruptcy Judge

In re: Anthony Curtis Wells
United States Bankruptcy Court – Central – Los Angeles
Bankruptcy Case No. 2:16-bk-18163-RK

EXHIBIT 1

THE COURT'S RULINGS ON SPECIFIC BILLING ENTRIES. THE COURT'S RULINGS ARE IN **BOLD**.

RE: ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE
FILE NUMBER: 1618163A
INVOICE NUMBER: *****

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED THROUGH Oct 4, 2018

LITIGATION - 1

09/26/16	RESEARCH RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS AND RECOVERED PROPERTY AS PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for task because trustee's complaint based on existing complaint of a creditor Jose Dumas pending in state court involving same facts and for basic research for a simple fraudulent transfer matter. Allowed time: 1.0 hour]	2.00	1030.00
09/27/16	T/C W/AED RE PROPOSED COMPLAINT AGAINST DEBTOR'S WIFE AS TO PURCHASE OF HOME AND 9-27-16 ORDER OF USBC AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.30	208.50
09/27/16	DRAFT COMPLAINT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for task of drafting trustee's complaint which is based on an existing complaint of creditor Dumas pending in state court involving same facts and for basic research to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter. Allowed time: 1.0 hour. (As noted below, the court also allows an additional 0.9 hour for revision work.)]	2.70	1390.50
09/27/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE ALLEGATIONS IN COMPLAINT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.30	154.50
09/27/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM TRUSTEE RE VALLI COMMUNITY PROPERTY ISSUE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
09/28/16	T/C W/AED RE 9-28-16 DRAFT FOR COMPLAINT AGAINST DEBTOR'S WIFE ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00

09/28/16	REVIEW AND COMMENT ON PROPOSED COMPLAINT AGAINST DEBTOR AND NON FILING SPOUSE TO RECOVER VALUE OF CONDO ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved]	.80	556.00
09/28/16	PREPARE LIS PENDENS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
09/28/16	REVISE COMPLAINT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.90	463.50
09/28/16	TEL. CONF. WITH H. KOLLITZ RE 9/28/16 DRAFT FOR COMPLAINT AGAINST DEBTOR'S WIFE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	103.00
09/29/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND NEFS AND DOCS RE TRUSTEE ACTION AGAINST NON FILING SPOUSE IN USBC AND DUMAS' LASC ACTION AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.70	486.50
09/29/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM H. KOLLITZ RE REVISIONS TO COMPLAINT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50
09/29/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COMPLAINT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
09/29/16	FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND ADD ADDITIONAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	2.40	1236.00
09/29/16	REVISE LIS PENDENS AND RESEARCH RE SERVICE LIST FOR SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.50	257.50
09/30/16	REVIEW SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF REQUIRED COMPLIANCE AND PREPARE SAME FOR SERVICE ALONG WITH NOTICE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50

10/04/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOCS RE 11-29-16 STATUS CONFERENCE IN TRUSTEE V. WELLS (NON FILING SPOUSE OF DEBTOR) ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
10/06/16	CONF W/RKD AND AED RE TRUSTEE'S AVOIDANCE POWER ACTION IN USBC VIS A VIS DUMAS' LASC ACTION AND ISSUES AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.80	556.00
10/06/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND H. KOLLITZ RE CASS CASE AND LIEN PERFECTION ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.80	412.00
10/06/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING AND LETTER TO J. BROWN ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50
10/07/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM H. KOLLITZ RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/07/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS AND RELATED ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	257.50
10/10/16	DRAFT MEMO TOG. LAFF RE TRUSTEE'S LIS PENDENS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/20/16	REVIEW ANSWERS FILED BY DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.50	257.50
11/08/16	PREPARE JOINT STATUS REPORT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.50	257.50
11/08/16	TEL. CONF. WITH G. LAFF RE STIPULATION IN STATE COURT MATTER AND JOINT STATUS REPORT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
11/28/16	TEL. CONFS. WITH G. LAFF RE STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
11/28/16	REVIEW COURT'S TENTATIVE AND PREPARE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50

11/29/16	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE IN TRUSTEE'S ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	2.50	1287.50
02/28/17	ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for status conference since court allows telephone appearance; lack of necessity for travel for personal appearance. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	2.00	1090.00
03/08/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOCS RE 5-9-17 STATUS CONF IN TRUSTEE V WELLS AND JSCR AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
05/09/17	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE TRUSTEE V WELLS USBC STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
05/09/17	TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE AT STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.90	490.50
08/21/17	CONF W/AED RE SUPERIOR COURT'S ORDER/JUDGMENT ON CONDO AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
08/21/17	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE STATUS CONFERENCE AND NEED TO KEEP ADVERSARY CASE OPEN ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer claim; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	109.00
08/22/17	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for status conference since court allows telephone appearance; lack of necessity for travel for personal appearance. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	2.50	1362.50

08/22/17	REVIEW TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT AND STATE COURT JUDGMENT AND TITLE DOCUMENTS/TRANSFER DOCS FOR PROPERTY AND PREPARE FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	2.10	1144.50
08/23/17	CONF W/AED RE 8-22-17 USBC HEARING AND RECORDING ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT BY BROWN ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
08/23/17	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE OUTCOME OF STATUS CONFERENCE AND STRATEGY GOING FORWARD ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	109.00
12/12/17	T/C W/AED RE RESULTS OF 12-12-17 HEARING AND ISSUES FOR CONT'D 12-19-17 HEARING ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	347.50
12/12/17	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE. LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for status conference since court allows telephone appearance; lack of necessity for travel for personal appearance. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	1.50	817.50
12/12/17	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE RESULTS OF HEARING AND ISSUES FOR CONTINUED 12/19/17 HEARING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	272.50
12/21/17	PREPARE NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONF ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: attorney should not charge at attorney rate for nonattorney work which can be performed by lower billing legal assistant. Allowed amount: \$20.00 (0.1 hour @ \$200.00 per hour)]	.20	109.00

12/29/17	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE STATUS CONFERENCE ON 3-27-18 IN TRUSTEE V WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
03/22/18	CONF W/AED RE TRUSTEE'S AVOIDANCE ACTION AND STATUS CONFERENCE DURING WEEK OF 3-26-18 AND MSJ ON COMMUNITY PROPERTY ISSUE ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
03/22/18	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE TRUSTEE'S ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	113.00
03/26/18	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STRATEGY FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE AND PENDING ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	113.00
03/26/18	REVIEW COURT'S TENTATIVE FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	56.50
03/27/18	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE AND BRIEF CONFERENCE WITH G. LAFF FOLLOWING HEARING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for status conference since court allows telephone appearance; lack of necessity for travel for personal appearance. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	2.10	1186.50
03/28/18	CONF W/AED RE RESULTS OF STATUS CONFERENCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT AGAINST PROPERTY ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

03/28/18	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE 6-19-18 TRIAL IN TRUSTEE V WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
03/28/18	DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING/STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: attorney should not charge at attorney rate for nonattorney work which can be performed by lower billing legal assistant. Allowed amount: \$20.00 (0.1 hour @ \$200.00 per hour)]	.10	56.50
03/28/18	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF STATUS CONFERENCE AND STRATEGY GOING FORWARD ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	113.00
03/28/18	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE OUTCOME OF STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	56.50
06/19/18	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND HEARING ON CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE AND TURNOVER MOTION ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time for status conference since court allows telephone appearance; lack of necessity for travel for personal appearance. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	3.30	1864.50
06/20/18	PREPARE NOTICES OF CONTINUED HEARING ON STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: attorney should not charge at attorney rate for nonattorney work which can be performed by lower billing legal assistant. Allowed amount: \$20.00 (0.1 hour @ \$200.00 per hour)]	.20	113.00
06/25/18	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOCS AND PREP MEMO AS TO CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
7/12/18	REVIEW DOCS RE CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN TRUSTEE V WELLS IN USBC ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

09/04/18	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE'S ADMINISTRATOR RE STATUS OF ADVERSARY ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: insufficient description of necessity of task and the need for conference; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	56.50
09/17/18	DRAFT ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.50	282.50
09/18/18	REVIEW ENTERED ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	56.50
09/26/18	REVIEW DOC RE DISMISSAL OF TRUSTEE V WELLS IN A P # 2:16-AP-01440-RK ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
SUBTOTAL FOR LITIGATION - 1		38.10	21160.50
LITIGATION - 2			
09/29/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM G. LAFF RE TRIAL IN CIVIL MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/04/16	REVIEW MEMO AND INFO RE RESEARCH ON TRUSTEE V. WELLS AND DUMAS V. WELLS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
10/04/16	CONF W/AED AND RKD RE DUMAS' ALLEGATIONS AND ISSUES AS TO PENDING LITIGATION AND APPEALS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	1.10	764.50
10/04/16	T/C W/AED RE ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT FILED BY DUMAS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

10/04/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND H. KOLLITZ RE STRATEGY IN RESPONDING TO LETTER FROM CREDITOR'S COUNSEL AND STRATEGY GOING FORWARD WITH AVOIDANCE POWER CLAIM ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	1.10	566.50
10/04/16	DRAFT MEMOS TO TRUSTEE AND H. KOLLITZ RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50
10/04/16	REVIEW DOCKET IN STATE COURT AND RESEARCH RE STATUS OF SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	1.80	927.00
10/04/16	REVIEW LETTER FROM C. BROWN ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/04/16	TEL. CONF. WITH H. KOLLITZ RE ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT FILED BY DUMAS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50
10/05/16	TEL. CONF. WITH G. LAFF RE STATE COURT ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/06/16	REVIEW AND COMMENT ON PROPOSED LETTER TO LAWYER FOR DUMAS AS TO TRUSTEE'S AVOIDANCE POWER ACTION IN USBC ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
10/06/16	RESEARCH AND DRAFT LETTER TO C. BROWN RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	4.10	2111.50
10/07/16	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE DEMAND ON DUMAS' ATTORNEY AS TO LASC LITIGATION AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.30	208.50
10/07/16	CONF W/AED RE COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S LAWYER ON LASC EX PARTE MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

10/07/16	REVISE LETTER TO C. BROWN RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
10/07/16	REVIEW MEMOS FROM G. LAFF RE EX PARTE NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF THE TRIAL AND DRAFT MEMOS TOG. LAFF RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
10/07/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S LAWYER ON LASC EX PARTE MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50
10/10/16	REVIEW EX PARTE MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL OF DUMAS VS WELLS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/11/16	CONF W/AED RE LASC DENIAL OF WELL'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
10/11/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE LASC DENIAL OF WELL'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50
10/12/16	REVIEW COURT'S RULING ON DEBTOR'S EX PARTE MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/14/16	REVIEW MEMO AND PREP NOTES AND REVIEW NOTES ON LASC ACTION OF DUMAS V. WELLS AND EX PARTE MOTIONS ON 10-24-16 ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00

10/14/16	CONF W/AED AND RKD RE 10-17-16 AND 10-18-16 HEARING IS LASC FOR DUMAS V. WELLS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
10/14/16	PREPARE FOR HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS CAUSES OF ACTION IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	1.20	618.00
10/14/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE APPEARING AT HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS AND ARGUMENTS RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
10/14/16	REVIEW DEBTOR'S FORENSIC ANALYSIS OF COMMUNITY PROPERTY INTEREST IN HOUSE AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.60	309.00
10/14/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ AND TRUSTEE RE 10/17/16 AND 1/18/16 HEARING IN LASC FOR DUMAS V. WELLS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/17/16	TEL. CONF. WITH TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS CAUSES OF ACTION IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/17/16	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS CAUSES OF ACTION IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	4.10	2111.50
10/17/16	REVIEW MEMOS FROM G. LAFF RE EX PARTE MOTIONS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/17/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM G. LAFF RE OUTCOME OF HEARING IN STATE COURT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/18/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOCS AND PREP MEMOS AND NOTES ON DUMAS V. WELLS IN LASC TRUSTEE V. WELLS IN USBC ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.80	556.00

10/18/16	CONF W/RKD AND AED RE ISSUES AS TO DUMAS V. WELLS IN LASC AND DISCUSSIONS WITH BROWN ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.60	417.00
10/18/16	CONFERENCE WITH R.K. DIAMOND AND H. KOLLITZ RE OUTCOME OF STATE COURT HEARING AND STRATEGY IN RESPONDING TO C. BROWN ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.60	309.00
10/18/16	REVIEW MEMOS FROM G. LAFF AND C. BROWN RE TRIAL CONTINUANCE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/18/16	REVIEW MEMOS FROM C. BROWN RE DUMAS' INTEREST IN STATE COURT MATTER AND NEED FOR LITIGATION TO PROCEED IN STATE COURT (MULTIPLE) AND MEMO RE BROWN'S QUALIFICATIONS TO PROSECUTE ACTION IN STATE COURT AND FORWARD SAME TO H. KOLLITZ AND TRUSTEE AND ATTACHMENTS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.70	360.50
10/19/16	DRAFT MEMO TO C. BROWN RE MEETING TO DISCUSS MOVING FORWARD IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/19/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE TRUSTEE'S FIDUCIARY DUTY TO CREDITORS AND ATTACHED ARTICLE RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/20/16	RESEARCH RE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ISSUES AND TRUSTEE PURSUING FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS IN STATE COURT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: excessive time for task of researching fraudulent transfer issues since counsel drafting trustee's complaint in adversary action which was based on the existing complaint of creditor Dumas pending in this state court action involving same facts, which is a simple fraudulent transfer matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour. As noted above, the court has allowed time for drafting the complaint in the adversary proceeding which presumably involved research into the fraudulent transfer issues involved in the state court action which was already pending. Moreover, also as noted above, the court has allowed 4.5 hours on 10/6/16 and 10/7/19 for research of fraudulent transfer issues in relation to drafting and revising a letter to Clark Brown, then counsel for creditor Jose Dumas in this state court action who became trustee's special litigation counsel as of 10/19/16. No showing is made why additional research of fraudulent transfer issues was needed.]	2.50	1287.50
10/20/16	PREPARE FOR CONFERENCE WITH C. BROWN AND DRAFT OUTLINE/SETTLEMENT STRUCTURE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.80	412.00

10/20/16	TELE. CONFERENCES WITH C. BROWN RE STATE COURT ACTION AND PURSUING FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS ON BEHALF OF TRUSTEE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.50	257.50
10/20/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PURSUING FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS IN STATE COURT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/20/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE EX PARTE MOTION TO INTERVENE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/20/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE DEBTOR'S PRODUCTION OF ORIGINAL KOREAN FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/21/16	TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN RE EMPLOYMENT APP AND EX PARTE MOTION TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
10/21/16	DRAFT DECLARATION OF TRUSTEE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	1.50	772.50
10/22/16	REVIEW DOCS AND MEMOS AND PREP NOTES RE DUMAS V. WELLS AND TRUSTEE V. WELLS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	347.50
10/24/16	CONF W/AED RE 1-24-16 EX PARTE MOTIONS IN LASC AND 11 USC 544(B) ISSUES FOR AED TO DISCUSS WITH BROWN AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
10/24/16	PREPARE FOR HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTIONS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.60	309.00
10/24/16	TRAVEL TO AND ATTEND HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO INTERVENE AND DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE MOTIONS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	4.00	2060.00
10/24/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTIONS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00

10/24/16	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE OUTCOME OF HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTIONS AND STRATEGY GOING FORWARD</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	103.00
10/26/16	<p>CONF W/AED RE STATUS OF LASC ACTION AND ISSUES AND PREP NOTES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	139.00
10/26/16	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE STIP TO INTERVENE AND REVISE SAME AND DRAFT RESPONSE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.40	206.00
10/26/16	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE STATUS OF LASC ACTION AND ISSUES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	103.00
10/27/16	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE INTERVENTION STIPULATION AND RESPONSE FROM G. LAFF</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.20	103.00
10/27/16	<p>TEL. CONF. WITH G. LAFF RE INTERVENTION IN STATE COURT MATTER</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.30	154.50
10/28/16	<p>TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN RE STIP RE INTERVENTION AND E-MAIL FROM G. LAFF</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	51.50
10/28/16	<p>DRAFT STIP TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	1.10	566.50
10/28/16	<p>CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DEFENDANT'S DEMANDS RE INTERVENTION AND STIP TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.20	103.00
11/01/16	<p>REVISE STIP TO INTERVENE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.30	154.50

11/10/16	CONF W/AED RE CASE STATUS AS TO STIP TO INTERVENE IN LASC AND STIP TO RELIEF FROM STAY AND BROWN EMPLOY AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
11/10/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE STIP TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
11/10/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE CASE STATUS AS TO STIPULATION TO INTERVENE IN LASC AND STIPULATION TO RELIEF FROM STAY AND BROWN EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	103.00
11/22/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE STIP TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT MATTER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
11/29/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND NOTES AND PREP ADD NOTES ON JANUARY 2017 TRIAL IN LASC OF TRUSTEE V. DEBTOR ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
11/29/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL IN STATE COURT ACTION ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
11/30/16	CONF W/AED RE STATUS OF CASE AND TRIAL IN LASC IN JANUARY 2017 ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

11/30/16	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE STATUS OF CASE AND TRIAL IS LASC IN JANUARY 2017 ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	51.50
12/07/16	<p>REVIEW MEMOS AND PREP NOTES ON DUMAS V. WELLS IN LASC ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	139.00
12/07/16	<p>DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE RE STIPULATION TO INTERVENE IN STATE COURT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	51.50
12/20/16	<p>TEL. CONF. WITH G. LAFF RE STATE COURT ACTION AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	51.50
12/20/16	<p>TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN REG. LAFF'S REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATE COURT TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	51.50
12/20/16	<p>CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DEBTOR'S REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATE COURT TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	51.50
01/03/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE TRIAL AND WITNESS PREP ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50
01/09/17	<p>REVIEW MEMOS AND NOTES AND PREP ADD NOTES RE STATE COURT TRIAL ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	139.00
01/09/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE DENIAL OF WELL'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50

01/10/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND PREP NOTES RE DUMAS V WELLS IN LASC ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
01/10/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
01/12/17	CONF W/AED RE STATUS OF LASC LITIGATION AND TRIAL ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
01/12/17	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE STATUS OF LASC LITIGATION AND TRIAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	54.50
02/01/17	CONF W/ AED RE LASC TRIAL IN DUMAS V. WELLS AND BK CASE STATUS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
02/01/17	REVIEW MEMOS RE LASC TRIAL IN DUMAS V WELLS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
02/01/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE SETTLEMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
02/01/17	TELE. CONFERENCE WITH C. BROWN RE TRIAL STATUS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50

02/01/17	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE LASC TRIAL IN DUMAS V. WELLS AND BANKRUPTCY CASE STATUS</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	54.50
02/08/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE STATE COURT'S STATEMENT OF DECISION AND DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE RE SAME</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50
02/09/17	<p>REVIEW 2-8-17 MEMOS RE RESULT OF LASC TRIAL IN DUMAS V. WELLS</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	69.50
02/13/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO RE TRUSTEE (ASS IN I TO DUMAS) V WELLS IN LASC</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	69.50
02/16/17	<p>CONF W/AED RE ISSUES AS TO CONTENT OF PROPOSED JUDGMENT IN DUMAS (AND TRUSTEE) V. WELLS AND DISPOSITION OF CONDO AND PREP NOTES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.30	208.50
02/16/17	<p>REVIEW MEMOS AND PREP MEMO AND NOTES RE CLARK BROWN'S PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH WELLS' WIFE AND ISSUES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	139.00

02/16/17	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE JUDGMENT IN STATE COURT FRAUDULENT TRANSFER LAWSUIT AND SETTLEMENT ISSUES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.30	163.50
02/22/17	<p>REVIEW AND COMMENT ON DRAFT FOR JUDGMENT IN LASC ACTION AGAINST DEBTOR AND DEBTOR'S SPOUSE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]]</p>	.20	139.00
02/22/17	<p>CONFS (2) W/AED RE PROPOSED JUDGMENT IN LASC AGAINST DEBTOR AND DEBTOR'S SPOUSE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.30	208.50
02/22/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE SETTLEMENT OFFER</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50
02/22/17	<p>CONFERENCES (2) WITH H. KOLLITZ RE PROPOSED JUDGMENT IN LASC AGAINST DEBTOR AND DEBTOR'S SPOUSE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.30	163.50
02/23/17	<p>CONFERENCE WITH A.E. DE LEEST RE FORM JUDGMENT</p> <p>ATTORNEY: ERIC P. ISRAEL</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	130.00

02/23/17	REVIEW 2-22-17 MEMOS AND DRAFTS FOR LETTERS ON SETTLEMENT ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
02/23/17	RESEARCH RE 522(G) ISSUE AND DRAFT STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	3.80	2071.00
02/23/17	CONFERENCE WITH E.P. ISRAEL RE FORM JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	109.00
02/23/17	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DRAFT PROPOSED JUDGMENT AND SETTLEMENT APPROACH ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	163.50
02/24/17	DRAFT MEMO TO TITLE OFFICER RE FORM OF JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
02/24/17	TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN RE SETTLEMENT LETTER AND OTHER ISSUES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	163.50
02/27/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOCS RE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH DEFENDANTS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
02/27/17	CONF W/AED RE SETTLEMENT OFFER OF TRUSTEE AND CLARK BROWN'S SUGGESTIONS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
02/27/17	CONFERENCE WITH R.K. DIAMOND RE SETTLEMENT OFFER TO DEBTOR AND WIFE AND MEMO FROM C. BROWN ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	109.00

02/27/17	<p>CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE SETTLEMENT OFFER OF TRUSTEE AND CLARK BROWN'S SUGGESTIONS</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	109.00
02/28/17	<p>TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN RE SETTLEMENT OFFER TO WELLS</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50
02/28/17	<p>REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE SETTLEMENT OFFER</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.10	54.50
03/01/17	<p>REVIEW 2-28-17 MEMO ON SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO BE MADE BY TRUSTEE</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	69.50
03/01/17	<p>REVIEW MEMOS ON SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO LAFF</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.10	69.50
03/01/17	<p>CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES</p> <p>ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST</p>	.20	109.00
03/02/17	<p>REVIEW 3-1-17 MEMOS AND DOCS ON INSTRUCTIONS TO CLARK BROWN ON SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS</p> <p>ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ</p> <p>[Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]</p>	.20	139.00

03/02/17	PREP MEMOS AND REVIEW MEMOS ON SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH LAFF AND BROWN'S ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
03/02/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND PREP ADD NOTES ON SETTLEMENT STRATEGY WITH LAFF AND ISSUES WITH BROWN ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
03/03/17	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AND STATUS OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
03/06/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND PREP MEMOS RE PROPOSED SETTLE OFFER TO LAFF AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.30	208.50
03/06/17	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMAIL TO BROWN RE SETTLEMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	109.00
03/23/17	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE'S ADMINISTRATOR RE STATUS OF STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	109.00
03/27/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
03/27/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE WELL'S OPPOSITION TO JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
03/28/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
04/10/17	DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE RE ENTRY OF JUDGMENT IN FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ACTION ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50

04/11/17	REVIEW 4-10-17 MEMO RE LASC JUDGMENT ENTERED IN TRUSTEE V WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
04/17/17	REVIEW MEMOS RE LASC JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF TRUSTEE AND AGAINST DUMAS AND ISSUE OF APPEAL ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
04/17/17	DRAFT MEMO TO C. BROWN RE STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
05/05/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM TRUSTEE'S ADMINISTRATOR RE STATE COURT JUDGMENT AND RESPOND ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
06/28/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM CLARK BROWN RE DEBTOR'S FAILURE TO TIMELY APPEAL FROM TRUSTEE'S STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
08/10/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE RECORDING STATE COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
08/10/17	TEL. CONF. WITH C. BROWN RE STATUS OF APPEAL AND JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
08/18/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
08/21/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOC AND PREP NOTES RE TRUSTEE V WELLS AND REVISED JUDGMENT OBTAINED BY TRUSTEE ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.30	208.50
08/21/17	DRAFT MEMO TO C. BROWN RE RECORDING STATE COURT JUDGMENT AND REVIEW RESPONSE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50

08/23/17	REVIEW MEMOS RE RECORDATION OF ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT AGAINST WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
08/23/17	DRAFT MEMO TO C. BROWN RE JUDGMENT AND REVIEW RESPONSE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
09/25/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE RECORDING JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
11/03/17	REVIEW DOC RE JOSE L. DUMAS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
12/07/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM CLARK BROWN RE WELLS' OPENING BRIEF IN APPEAL OF UNDERLYING CREDITOR'S CLAIM/JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
12/08/17	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOC RE A.C. WELLS OPENING BRIEF ON HIS APPEAL OF MONEY JUDGMENT FOR FRAUD AND PREP MEMOS AND NOTES RE TRUSTEE'S ENFORCEMENT OF RIGHTS TO SELL REAL PROPERTY AND ISSUES WITH LAFF ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.70	486.50
12/08/17	REVIEW MEMO FROM CLARK BROWN RE WELLS' OPENING BRIEF IN APPEAL OF UNDERLYING CREDITOR'S CLAIM/JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	54.50
12/08/17	DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE RE WELLS' APPELLATE BRIEF AND MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE SAME AND RESPONSE TO INQUIRY RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	109.00

12/21/17	REVIEW DOCS RE "NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S APPEAL OF JUDGMENT TO DCA ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
02/15/18	REVIEW DOCS RE DUMAS' BRIEF IN DCA APPEAL AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
03/12/18	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE 4-10-18 DCA ORAL ARGUMENT AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
03/12/18	REVIEW MEMO FROM G. LAFF RE NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE APPELLATE COURT. ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	56.50
03/15/18	REVIEW MEMOS RE APPEAL OF WELLS TO DCA AND REQUEST OF DUMAS' LAWYER FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
03/15/18	REVIEW MEMOS FROM J. C. BROWN AND G. LAFF RE STATE COURT APPEAL AND SETTLEMENT OFFER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	113.00
03/19/18	PREP MEMO RE 4-10-18 ORAL ARGUMENT IN DCA ON DUMAS V WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50

04/11/18	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE ORAL ARGUMENT IN CREDITOR'S APPEAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	56.50
04/26/18	CONF W/AED AND PREP NOTES RE DUMAS PREVAILING ON WELLS' APPEAL TO DCA AND SALE OF PROPERTY ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
04/26/18	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOC RE 4-26-18 DCA DECISION/OPINION ON APPEAL OF ANTHONY WELLS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel and special litigation counsel were primarily responsible for representing trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.60	417.00
04/26/18	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE DUMAS' JUDGMENT UPHELD ON APPEAL ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between these attorneys because only one of these two attorneys in law firm acting as general bankruptcy counsel was needed to represent trustee in state court action to prosecute a simple fraudulent transfer matter; overstaffing task involved. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	113.00
04/26/18	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE DCA AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT JUDGMENT AND REVIEW DCA DECISION ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	169.50
04/26/18	DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE AND H. KOLLITZ RE DCA AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT JUDGMENT AND REVIEW DCA DECISION AND STRATEGY MOVING FORWARD AND REVIEW RESPONSES ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	113.00
04/26/18	REVIEW MEMO FROM G. LAFF RE DCA AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT JUDGMENT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	56.50
06/29/18	REVIEW MEMO FROM C. BROWN RE DUMAS JUDGMENT AND RELIEF FROM STAY ORDER AND DUMAS' EFFORTS TO COLLECT ON JUDGMENT AND DRAFT MEMO TO H. KOLLITZ RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	113.00
SUBTOTAL FOR LITIGATION - 2		55.80	31378.00

RELIEF FROM STAY PROCEEDINGS [B140]

09/23/16	CONF W/RKD RE MFRS OF JOSE L. DUMAS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for two attorneys in firm to work on representing trustee in simple stay relief matter to ensure that stay relief order did not determine that fraudulent transfer claim in state court was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Here, two firm attorneys, Kollitz and De Leest, worked on this matter, and only one should have worked on it. De Leest did the actual drafting and appearance, though Kollitz obtained the assignment from the client trustee. There should be no time allowed for duplication of effort for the client trustee to instruct the attorney who did the work to perform the work; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	347.50
09/23/16	T/C W/AED RE MFRS OF DUMAS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for two attorneys in firm to work on representing trustee in simple stay relief matter to ensure that stay relief order did not determine that fraudulent transfer claim in state court was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Only Attorney De Leest should have worked on this assignment.]	.10	69.50
09/23/16	REVIEW MEMOS FROM H. KOLLITZ RE RELIEF FROM STAY ISSUE AND NEED TO RESPOND TO SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50
09/23/16	TEL. CONF. WITH H. KOLLITZ RE RELIEF FROM STAY ISSUE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
09/24/16	9-23-16 REVIEW OF DOCS AND INFO AND PREP MEMO ON OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for two attorneys in firm to work on representing trustee in simple stay relief matter to ensure that stay relief order did not determine that fraudulent transfer claim in state court was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Only Attorney De Leest should have worked on this assignment.]	.30	208.50
09/25/16	REVIEW DOCS AND PREP MEMO ON OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for two attorneys in firm to work on representing trustee in simple stay relief matter to ensure that stay relief order did not determine that fraudulent transfer claim in state court was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Only Attorney De Leest should have worked on this assignment.]	.20	139.00

09/26/16	T/CS W/AED RE OBJECTIONS TO FORM OF ORDER ON MFRS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for two attorneys in firm to work on representing trustee in simple stay relief matter to ensure that stay relief order did not determine that fraudulent transfer claim in state court was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Only Attorney De Leest should have worked on this assignment.]	.40	278.00
09/26/16	CONF (#2) W/AED RE TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
09/26/16	CONF (#4) W/AED RE TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS AND ISSUES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
09/26/16	REVIEW AND COMMENT ON DRAFT FOR TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
09/26/16	CONF W/AED (#3) RE TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
09/26/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOC AND NEF AND DOC RE TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MFRS AS TO TWO LAWSUITS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
09/26/16	REVIEW MEMO FROM H. KOLLITZ RE OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50

09/26/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
09/26/16	REVIEW RELIEF FROM STAY MOTION FILED BY CREDITOR RE TWO STATE COURT LAWSUITS AND ANALYZE RELIEF REQUESTED, REVIEW OPPOSITION, AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time billed for reviewing state court documents, especially since attorney was instructed and briefed by trustee and other attorney and the task was simple to ensure that the stay relief order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate. Allowed time: 0.5 hour]	1.30	669.50
09/26/16	DRAFT OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time billed for simple objection to proposed order. Allowed time: 0.8 hour]	2.00	1030.00
09/26/16	PREPARE NEW PROPOSED ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
09/26/16	CONFERENCES WITH H. KOLLITZ RE RELIEF FROM STAY ISSUE AND OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	257.50
09/27/16	REVIEW MEMOS AND DOC AND NEFS REJOINDER OF DEBTOR IN TRUSTEE'S OBJECTIONS TO DUMAS' PROPOSED ORDER ON DUMAS' MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.40	278.00
09/27/16	TEL. CONFS. WITH G. LAFF RE RFS HEARING AND OUTCOME AND OBJECTION TO FORM OF ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
09/27/16	DRAFT JOINDER OF DEBTOR IN OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER AND MEMO TOG. LAFF RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.60	309.00
09/27/16	TEL. CONF. WITH J. BROWN RE RELIEF FROM STAY ISSUES AND CLAIMS AS PROPERTY OF ESTATE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50

09/27/16	REVIEW TRANSCRIPT FOR THE AUGUST 23RD HEARING ON PLAINTIFF JOSE DUMAS' MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
09/28/16	TEL. CONF. WITH G. LAFF RE RFS HEARING TRANSCRIPT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
09/29/16	CONF W/AED RE ISSUES ON APPEAL OF USBC ORDER ON MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	347.50
09/29/16	CONFERENCE WITH H. KOLLITZ RE ISSUES ON APPEAL OF USBC ORDER ON MFRS ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.50	257.50
09/30/16	REVIEW 9-29-16 NEF AND DOC RE ORDER ON MFRS FILED BY DUMAS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
09/30/16	CONFERENCE WITH G. LAFF RE RFS TRANSCRIPT ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.10	51.50
10/03/16	REVIEW RECORDING OF HEARING ON RELIEF FROM STAY MOTION ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.40	206.00
10/03/16	TEL. CONF. WITH TRUSTEE RE POTENTIAL APPEAL FROM RFS ORDER AND STRATEGY RE SAME ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.30	154.50
10/03/16	PREPARE NOTICE OF APPEAL OF RELIEF FROM STAY ORDER ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00

10/10/16	REVIEW MEMOS ON ORDER ON DUMAS MFRS AND PREP NOTES ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	69.50
10/10/16	DRAFT MEMO TO TRUSTEE CONFIRMING THAT HE DOES NOT WANT TO APPEAL FROM RELIEF FROM STAY ORDER AND REVIEW RESPONSE ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for this task to memorialize trustee's decision not to appeal by attorney in same law firm. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.10	51.50
11/21/16	REVIEW MEMO AND DOC RE STIP TO FURTHER MODIFY 11 USC 362 STAY BETWEEN TRUSTEE AND DUMAS ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for work since another attorney was primarily responsible for representing trustee in adversary proceeding; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
11/21/16	DRAFT STIPULATION FOR FURTHER MODIFICATION OF THE STAY ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time billed for simple stipulation. Allowed time: 0.8 hour]	1.40	721.00
11/21/16	CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STIPULATION TO MODIFY STAY ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST	.20	103.00
11/23/16	REVIEW NEF AND DOC RE ORDER ON FURTHER MODIFICATION OF STAY AND REVIEW MEMO AND DOC ON ORDER ATTORNEY: HOWARD KOLLITZ [Ruling: Disallowed in full: lack of necessity for the task of conferring between two attorneys since only one attorney was needed to represent trustee in adversary proceeding to object to proposed order to ensure that the order did not determine that the fraudulent transfer claim was not property of the bankruptcy estate; overstaffing matter. Allowed time: 0.0 hour]	.20	139.00
11/23/16	DRAFT ORDER APPROVING STIP MODIFYING STAY ATTORNEY: AARON E. DE LEEST [Ruling: Disallowed in part: excessive time billed for simple order approving stipulation. Allowed time: 0.3 hour]	.50	257.50
SUBTOTAL FOR RELIEF FROM STAY PROCEEDINGS [B140]		14.60	8311.00

Mathematical Summary of the Court's Rulings

Date	Time Billed	Fees Sought	Rate	Time Allowed	Fees Allowed
Litigation 1					
9/26/2016	2.00	\$1,030.00	\$515.00	1.00	\$515.00
9/27/2016	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/27/2016	2.70	\$1,390.50	\$515.00	1.00	\$515.00
9/27/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/27/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
9/28/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/28/2016	0.80	\$556.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/28/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
9/28/2016	0.90	\$463.50	\$515.00	0.90	\$463.50
9/28/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/29/2016	0.70	\$486.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/29/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/29/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
9/29/2016	2.40	\$1,236.00	\$515.00	2.40	\$1,236.00
9/29/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.50	\$257.50
9/30/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
10/4/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/6/2016	0.80	\$556.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/6/2016	0.80	\$412.00	\$515.00	0.80	\$412.00
10/6/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
10/7/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/7/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/10/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/20/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.50	\$257.50
11/8/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.50	\$257.50
11/8/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
11/28/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
11/28/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
11/29/2016	2.50	\$1,287.50	\$515.00	2.50	\$1,287.50
2/28/2017	2.00	\$1,090.00	\$545.00	0.50	\$272.50
3/8/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
5/9/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
5/9/2017	0.90	\$490.50	\$545.00	0.90	\$490.50
8/21/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
8/21/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
8/22/2017	2.50	\$1,362.50	\$545.00	0.50	\$272.50
8/22/2017	2.10	\$1,144.50	\$545.00	2.10	\$1,144.50
8/23/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
8/23/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/12/2017	0.50	\$347.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/12/2017	1.50	\$817.50	\$545.00	0.50	\$272.50

12/12/2017	0.50	\$272.50	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/21/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.10	\$20.00
12/29/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/22/2018	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/22/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/26/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.20	\$113.00
3/26/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$56.50
3/27/2018	2.10	\$1,186.50	\$565.00	0.50	\$282.50
3/28/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/28/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/28/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$20.00
3/28/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.20	\$113.00
3/28/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.00	\$0.00
6/19/2018	3.30	\$1,864.50	\$565.00	0.50	\$282.50
6/20/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.10	\$20.00
3/25/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
7/12/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/4/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/17/2018	0.50	\$282.50	\$565.00	0.50	\$282.50
9/18/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$56.50
9/26/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
Litigation 2					
9/29/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/4/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/4/2016	1.10	\$764.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/4/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/4/2016	1.10	\$566.50	\$515.00	1.10	\$566.50
10/4/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
10/4/2016	1.80	\$927.00	\$515.00	1.80	\$927.00
10/4/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/4/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/5/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/6/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/6/2016	4.10	\$2,111.50	\$515.00	4.10	\$2,111.50
10/7/2016	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/7/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/7/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
10/7/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
10/7/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/10/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/11/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/11/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/12/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/14/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/14/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/14/2016	1.20	\$618.00	\$515.00	1.20	\$618.00

10/14/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
10/14/2016	0.60	\$309.00	\$515.00	0.60	\$309.00
10/14/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/17/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/17/2016	4.10	\$2,111.50	\$515.00	4.10	\$2,111.50
10/17/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/17/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/18/2016	0.80	\$556.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/18/2016	0.60	\$417.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/18/2016	0.60	\$309.00	\$515.00	0.60	\$309.00
10/18/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/18/2016	0.70	\$360.50	\$515.00	0.70	\$360.50
10/19/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/19/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/20/2016	2.50	\$1,287.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/20/2016	0.80	\$412.00	\$515.00	0.80	\$412.00
10/20/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.50	\$257.50
10/20/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/20/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/20/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/21/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/21/2016	1.50	\$772.50	\$515.00	1.50	\$772.50
10/22/2016	0.50	\$347.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/24/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/24/2016	0.60	\$309.00	\$515.00	0.60	\$309.00
10/24/2016	4.00	\$2,060.00	\$515.00	4.00	\$2,060.00
10/24/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/24/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/26/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/26/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
10/26/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/27/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/27/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
10/28/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/28/2016	1.10	\$566.50	\$515.00	1.10	\$566.50
10/28/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
11/1/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
11/10/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/10/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
11/10/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/22/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
11/29/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/29/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
11/30/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/30/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/7/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00

12/7/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
12/20/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
12/20/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
12/20/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
1/3/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
1/9/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
1/9/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
1/10/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
1/10/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
1/12/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
1/12/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/1/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/1/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/1/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/1/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/1/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/8/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/9/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/13/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/16/2017	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/16/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/16/2017	0.30	\$163.50	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/22/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/22/2017	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/22/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/22/2017	0.30	\$163.50	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/23/2017	0.20	\$130.00	\$650.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/23/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/23/2017	3.80	\$2,071.00	\$545.00	3.80	\$2,071.00
2/23/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/23/2017	0.30	\$163.50	\$545.00	0.30	\$163.50
2/24/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/24/2017	0.30	\$163.50	\$545.00	0.30	\$163.50
2/27/2017	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/27/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/27/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.20	\$109.00
2/27/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/28/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
2/28/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
3/1/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/1/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/1/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.20	\$109.00
3/2/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/2/2017	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/2/2017	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/3/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50

3/6/2017	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/6/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.20	\$109.00
3/23/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.20	\$109.00
3/27/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
3/27/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
3/28/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
4/10/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
4/11/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/17/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/17/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
5/5/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
6/28/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
8/10/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
8/10/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
8/18/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
8/21/2017	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
8/21/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
8/23/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
8/23/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
9/25/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
11/3/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/7/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
12/8/2017	0.70	\$486.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
12/8/2017	0.10	\$54.50	\$545.00	0.10	\$54.50
12/8/2017	0.20	\$109.00	\$545.00	0.20	\$109.00
12/21/2017	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
2/15/2018	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/12/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/12/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$56.50
3/15/2018	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
3/15/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.20	\$113.00
3/19/2018	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/11/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$56.50
4/26/2018	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/26/2018	0.60	\$417.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/26/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.00	\$0.00
4/26/2018	0.30	\$169.50	\$565.00	0.30	\$169.50
4/26/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.20	\$113.00
4/26/2018	0.10	\$56.50	\$565.00	0.10	\$56.50
6/29/2018	0.20	\$113.00	\$565.00	0.20	\$113.00
Relief from Stay Proceedings					
9/23/2016	0.50	\$347.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/23/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/23/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
9/23/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
9/24/2016	0.30	\$208.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00

9/25/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/26/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
9/26/2016	1.30	\$669.50	\$515.00	0.50	\$257.50
9/26/2016	2.00	\$1,030.00	\$515.00	0.80	\$412.00
9/26/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
9/26/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/27/2016	0.40	\$278.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/27/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
9/27/2016	0.60	\$309.00	\$515.00	0.60	\$309.00
9/27/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
9/27/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
9/28/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
9/29/2016	0.50	\$347.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/29/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/30/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
9/30/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.10	\$51.50
10/3/2016	0.40	\$206.00	\$515.00	0.40	\$206.00
10/3/2016	0.30	\$154.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50
10/3/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
10/10/2016	0.10	\$69.50	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
10/10/2016	0.10	\$51.50	\$515.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/21/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/21/2016	1.40	\$721.00	\$515.00	0.80	\$412.00
11/21/2016	0.20	\$103.00	\$515.00	0.20	\$103.00
11/23/2016	0.20	\$139.00	\$695.00	0.00	\$0.00
11/23/2016	0.50	\$257.50	\$515.00	0.30	\$154.50

	Time Billed	Fees Sought	Time Allowed	Fees Allowed	Deduction
Subtotal for Litigation 1:	38.1	\$21,166.50	19	\$9,930.50	\$11,236.00
Subtotal for Litigation 2:	55.8	\$31,378.00	38.1	\$19,921.50	\$11,456.50
Subtotal for RFS Proceedings:	14.6	\$8,311.00	6.2	\$3,193.00	\$5,118.00
	108.5	\$60,855.50	63.3	\$33,045.00	\$27,810.50