1

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

In re:

CURTIS C. MAGLEBY,

CURTIS C. MAGLEBY,

MARCI R. LEVINE, et al.,

VS.

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

JUN 27 2019

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No.	2:16-bk-15322-RK

Chapter 11

Debtor.

Adv. No. 2:19-ap-01008-RK

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT, RESERVING RULING ON
WHETHER DISMISSAL IS WITH OR
WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND,
CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION AND
STATUS CONFERENCE AND REQUIRING
FURTHER BRIEFING

Hearing and Status Conference Dates
Old Date: July 2, 2019

Old Time: 2:30 p.m.

New Date: August 14, 2019 New Time: 11:30 a.m.

Courtroom: 1675

Roybal Federal Building 255 East Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

Pending before the court in this adversary proceeding is the motion of Defendants Marci R. Levine, et al., to dismiss the complaint of Plaintiff Curtis C. Magleby, filed and served on May 20, 2019 and noticed for hearing on July 2, 2019 at

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and requested that the complaint be dismissed

with prejudice. Any written opposition to the motion must have been filed and served on

or before June 18, 2019 pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(f)(1). Plaintiff did

1 2:30 p.m. Defendants in their motion to dismiss contend that the complaint should be 2 dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to 3 4 5 6 not file a written opposition to the motion by the deadline of June 18, 2019. Instead, 7 Plaintiff filed and served a first amended complaint on June 18, 2019.

8 9

11

10

12 13

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(h), if a party does not timely file and serve documents, such as an opposition to a motion, the court may deem this to be consent to the granting or denial of the motion as the case may be. Also, pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(j), the court may decide in its discretion to dispense with oral argument on the motion and rule on the papers without a hearing.

Having reviewed the motion of defendants to dismiss the complaint of plaintiff for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, to which there is no timely written opposition filed and served by the plaintiff, the court exercises its discretion to dispense with oral argument and rules on the motion without a hearing as to whether the motion should be granted and deems the failure of plaintiff to timely oppose the motion as consent to the granting of the motion, which the court determines is welltaken. Because plaintiff's first amended complaint was filed and served on June 18. 2019, 29 days after defendants served their motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), plaintiff may not amend his complaint as of right pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)(B), which requires such amendment within 21 days of service of the Rule 12(b) motion, and as some other amendment, plaintiff's first amended complaint is not an authorized amendment that would moot the motion to dismiss the original complaint because such other amendment was permitted only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave, which plaintiff does not have, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2). Accordingly, the court grants

defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted for the reasons stated in the moving papers and for lack of timely written opposition.

However, the issue of whether the dismissal should be with or without leave to amend remains. A dismissal for failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) bars further litigation on the particular claim pleaded unless leave to amend is granted, or the dismissal is made without prejudice to refiling the claim. 2 Phillips and Stevenson, *Rutter Group Practice Guide: Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial, California and Ninth Circuit Edition*, ¶9:285 at 9-113 (2019), *citing, Cannon v. Loyola University of Chicago*, 784 F.2d 777, 780 (7th Cir. 1986). Because Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) expressly states that leave to amend "shall be freely given when justice so requires," as a practical matter, leave to amend is almost always granted by the court at least once. *Id.*, ¶¶9:286 and 9:287 at 9-113 and 9-114, *citing inter alia, United States v. Corinthian Colleges*, 655 F.3d 984, 985 (9th Cir. 2011) and *National Council of La Raza v. Chegavske*, 800 F.3d 1032, 1041 (9th Cir. 2015). It is black letter law that the trial court must give at least one chance to amend absent a clear showing that amendment would be futile. *Id.*, ¶9:287 at 9-114, *citing, National Council of La Raza v. Chegavske*, 800 F.3d at 1041.

In order for the court to decide whether to dismiss the complaint and adversary proceeding with or without leave to amend, the court believes that it should provide an opportunity for the parties to be heard on this issue. Accordingly, the court orders that the parties file and serve simultaneous briefs on this issue, specifically addressing whether an amendment would be futile, on or before July 17, 2019, and that they may file and serve a reply to each other's brief on the issue on or before July 31, 2019. The court will hear further argument on the motion as to this issue on August 14, 2019 at 11:30 a.m. Therefore, the hearing on the motion and the status conference in this adversary proceeding currently set for July 2, 2019 at 2:30 p.m. are continued to August

1	14, 2019 at 11:30 a.m. in Courtroom 1675, Roybal Federal Building, 255 East Temple		
2	Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. No appearances are required in this adversary		
3	proceeding on July 2, 2019. The court waives the requirement of a joint status report		
4	for the continued status conference on August 14, 2019.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	THIS SO STIBLINED.		
7	###		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Date: June 27, 2019		
25	Robert Kwan		
26	United States Bankruptcy Judge		
27			

Case 2:19-ap-01008-RK Doc 24 Filed 06/27/19 Entered 06/27/19 17:18:20 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 4

28