CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORIA BY DEPUTY CLERK



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re
BETTY R. HAU,
Debtor.

Case No. LA 03-11290 TD Adv. Case No. 04-02202

Chapter 7

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

RICHARD DIAMOND, Chapter 7 Trustee, Plaintiff

٧.

BETTY R. HAU and PING REN, Defendants.

DATE: June 20, 2005 TIME: 9:00 a.m. PLACE: Courtroom 1345

I announced my findings and conclusions and tentative decision in this matter following a June 20, 2005 trial, to the effect that the Defendants had engaged in a fraudulent transfer of real property, as alleged by the Plaintiff. I allowed the Defendants 30 additional days within which to file, in writing, evidence to suggest that there was something wrong with Plaintiff's evidence or my tentative decision.

Plaintiff's evidentiary objections based on hearsay and lack of foundation is granted. The balance of Ms. Hau's July 20 written declaration, to which the Plaintiff objects, is contradicted in several particulars by other prior statements in the record made by Ms. Hau under oath. Ultimately, Ms. Hau's July 20 declaration is conclusory, unconvincing, and unpersuasive, as is her evidence as a whole. In addition, her conclusory statements are largely uncorroborated by independent documentary or other evidence.

On August 24 Defendant filed additional briefing, a further, untimely Hau.

declaration. Plaintiff filed a reply memorandum and evidentiary objections. Each of

Defendant Betty Hau filed additional evidence on July 20 in the form of a written

On August 24, Defendant filed additional briefing, a further, untimely Hau declaration, and a request for a hearing to present yet other evidence. In my mind, after reviewing Defendants' August 24 filings, I conclude that the only appropriate purpose for such a hearing would be to allow Plaintiff to challenge by cross-examination the new evidence contained in Ms. Hau's July 20 written declaration. Since I find Ms. Hau's July 20 declaration to be insufficient to overcome the Plaintiff's evidence introduced at trial in June, I do not believe that any further hearing is appropriate or necessary.

Based on the foregoing and a full review of the trial record, including a transcript of the June 20 hearing, I hereby respectfully deny the Defendants'

August 24, 2005 request for further hearing. Plaintiff has proved his claim by a preponderance of the evidence. My June 20 oral ruling in favor of Plaintiff shall become my final ruling herein. A separate judgment shall be entered in favor of Plaintiff.

DATED: 9/21/05

_____/s/ THOMAS B. DONOVAN United States Bankruptcy Judge

1 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER AND CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 2 TO ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST LISTED BELOW: 3 You are hereby notified that a judgment or order entitled: 4 5 **MEMORANDUM OF DECISION** was entered on _____. 6 7 I hereby certify that I mailed a true copy of the order or judgment to the persons 2. and entities listed below on _____. 8 9 Debtor/Defendant Attorney for Chapter 7 Trustee Betty Hau Helen Frazer 10 6470 N. Lemon Ave. Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Rudd & San Gabriel, CA 91775 Romo 11 17871 Park Plaza Drive, Ste. 200 Defendant Cerritos, CA 90703 12 Ping Ren 6470 N. Lemon Ave. Office of the U.S. Trustee San Gabriel, CA 91775 13 Ernst & Young Plaza 725 S. Figueroa St., 26th Floor 14 Defendants' Attorney Los Angeles, CA 90017 Henry Heuer 15 Prince & Heuer 2029 Century Park East, 21st Floor 16 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2901 Chapter 7 Trustee Richard Diamond 17 Danning Gill Diamond & Kollitz 18 2029 Century Park East, 3rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 19 20 21 22

Clerk

23

24

25

26

Dated: