	FILED
1	
2	
3	
4	CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORIA BY DEPUTY CLERK
5	
6	
7	UNITED S
8	CENTRAL

9 ||

26

27

28



# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

_		
10	In re	) Case No. 2:05-30583-TD
11	KATAYONE ADELI,	) Chapter 7
12	Adeli.	, ) ) Adv. No. 2:05-02644-TD
13		) )
14	RICHARD B. SACHS,	) )
15	Plaintiff,	) FINDINGS OF FACT ) AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
16	v.	) )
17	KATAYONE ADELI,	TRIAL:
18	Defendant.	) Date: October 25, 2007 ) Time: 10:00 a.m.
19		) CLOSING ARGUMENTS:
20		Date: December 21, 2007 Time: 10:00 a.m.
21		, )
22		) Place: Courtroom 1345 ) 255 E. Temple St.
23		, ) Los Angeles, CA )
24		FINAL BRIEFING DATE:
25		/ February 1, 2008

On October 25, 2007, at the above-referenced date, time and location, the court conducted a trial (the "Trial") regarding the claims for relief arising under 11 U.S.C. § 727¹ (the "727 Claims") asserted by Richard B. Sachs, plaintiff herein ("Sachs"), against Katayone Adeli, the Chapter 7 debtor and defendant herein ("Adeli"), in Sachs' First Amended Complaint Seeking (I) Denial of Adeli's Discharge Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727; or, in the Alternative, (II) Denial of Adeli's Discharge as to Sachs' Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523 (the "FAC").²

2.1

Craig M. Rankin and Todd M. Arnold of Levene, Neale, Bender, Rankin & Brill L.L.P. appeared on behalf of Adeli. Frank A. Merola and Nathan A. Schultz of Stutman, Treister & Glatt, Professional Corporation, appeared on behalf of Sachs.

Upon consideration of (A) the Joint Pretrial Order entered by the court on August 14, 2007 (the "PTO"); (B) the Trial testimony of Adeli, Paul Brent, Esq. ("Brent"), Paul Samuels, Esq. ("Samuels"), Carl Waldman, Esq. ("Waldman"), and Roxanne Modjallal ("Modjallal"); (C) the deposition transcripts of Adeli [Tr. Ex. 29], Brent [Tr. Ex. 31], Samuels [Tr. Ex. 37], Waldman [Tr. Ex. 36], Modjallal [Tr. Ex. 28], Mehri Majidi ("Majidi") [Tr. Ex. 30], Gloria L. Pica ("Pica") [Tr. Ex. 38], Lynne Van Auken ("Auken") [Tr. Ex. 39], Susan Schneiderman, Esq. ("Schneiderman") [Tr. Ex. 32], Howard Bader, Esq. ("Bader") [Tr. Ex. 35], Chris Mulardelis, Esq. ("Mulardelis") [Tr. Ex. 33], and Michael Shepard ("Sheppard")

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  All section references herein are to 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code")

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Sachs' claims for relief against Adeli arising under 11 U.S.C. § 523 that were asserted in the FAC were severed for the purposes of the Trial and deferred for later hearing.

and, together with Schneiderman, Bader, Mulardelis, the "New York Lawyers") [Tr. Ex. 34], together with all exhibits to such transcripts; (D) the following additional Trial exhibits;

Exhibit	Description
No.	
1	9/21/2005 Application for Leave to Employ Attorney
	Under General Retainer Agreement; Declaration of
	Non-Adversity; Statement of Disinterestedness.
2	5/17/2005 Washington Mutual Bank Official Check No.
	078227263 to Steinberg, Nutter & Brent Trust Acct.
	for \$35,000.00. (WM - 001110)
3	Excerpt of 11/22/2005 Transcript of §341(a) Meeting
	of Creditors.
4	Excerpt of 12/6/2005 Transcript of §341(a) Meeting
	of Creditors.
5	12/8/2005 Check No. 2973 from Roxanne Modjallal to
	Katayone Adeli for \$37,000.00. 12/8/2005 Check No.
	2974 from Roxanne Modjallal to Katayone Adeli for
	\$356.19.
6	1/1/2004 Kader, Inc. Defined Benefit Pension Plan
	and Trust.
7	10/21/2005 Filing of Debtor's Schedules, Statement
	of Financial Affairs, and Lists.
8	4/7/2005 Judgment in Richard B. Sachs vs. Katayone
	Adeli, Sean P. Barron, Klothes, LLC, Klothes (NY),
	LLC, and John Does, 1-10.
9	Washington Mutual Bank Account Number 871-124124-4
	Statement from 3/12/2005 thru 4/13/2005 for Katayone
	Adeli. (WM - 000172 to WM - 000173)
10	3/31/2005 Valley National Bank Statement of Account
	for Kader Inc. (VN 000049, VN 000053, VN 000056, VN
	000059, VN 000062, VN 000064)
1.1	10/02/0007
11	10/23/2007 Fax from L. Holland to N. Schultz.
1.0	Attaching Katayone Adeli Official Checks.
12	8/19/2006 Declaration of Katayone Adeli in Support
	of Debtor's Opposition to Motion for Summary
	Judgment Denying Debtor a Discharge Pursuant to 11
1.2	U.S.C. § 727(A)(2).
13	Excerpt of 4/12/2007 Deposition of Susan
	Schneiderman.
14	Excerpt of 4/12/2007 Deposition of Chris
	Mularadelis.
15	Excerpt of 4/12/2007 Deposition of Michael Sheppard.
16	Excerpt of $4/12/2007$ Deposition of Howard Bader.

1	17	6/27/2005 Bank of America Check Number 0999 from
		Katayone Adeli to Kader Inc. for \$100,000.00.
2	18	Excerpt of 11/22/2005 Transcript of §341(a) Meeting
3		of Creditors.
	19	Declaration of Katayone Adeli.
4	20	Excerpt of 1/16/2006 Rule 2004 Examination of
		Katayone Adeli.
5	21	8/17/2006 Settlement Agreement.
6	22	Spreadsheet from Roxanne Modjallal for K. Adeli
		Account: 03927-03492.
7	23	U.S. Trustee Operating Report for Katayone Adeli for
		the Month Ending 9/30/2005.
8	24	U.S. Trustee Operating Report for Katayone Adeli for
		the Month Ending 10/31/2005.
9	25	U.S. Trustee Operating Report for Katayone Adeli for
10		the Month Ending 11/30/2005.
	26	U.S. Trustee Operating Report for Katayone Adeli for
11		the Month Ending 12/31/2005.
	27	Demonstrative Trial Exhibit - Chart of Adeli
12		Accounts.
13	40	11/22/2005 Transcript of §341(a) Meeting of
		Creditors.
14	41	12/6/2005 Transcript of §341(a) Meeting of
		Creditors.
15	42	1/16/2006 Rule 2004 Examination of Katayone Adeli.
	1 1	

2.1

2.4

(E) Sachs' Opening Trial Brief; (F) Adeli's Trial Brief; (G) Sachs' Reply to Adeli's Trial Brief; (H) the arguments of counsel made at the Trial; (J) the closing arguments of counsel presented to the court on December 21, 2007; and further briefing provided by both Sachs and Adeli on both January 25, 2008, and February 1, 2008; and further based on the court's conclusion that Adeli's Trial testimony was credible and in all material ways consistent with the Trial testimony of Brent, Samuels, Waldman, and Modjallal, the court hereby makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### INTRODUCTION

This dispute apparently arises from a failed business arrangement between Adeli (debtor and defendant) and Sachs (plaintiff). Sachs recovered a \$727,358.52 judgment in a New York state court against Adeli. Sachs seeks a judgment here that Adeli should be denied a discharge pursuant to §§ 727(a)(2)(A) and 727(a)(4)(A). The following findings and conclusions are based largely on (A) the Joint Pretrial Order entered herein and (B) the live testimony and other evidence received at trial on October 25, 2007, as well as other matters of which I have taken judicial notice from the record in Adeli's bankruptcy case.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Adeli is an artist. She has no legal or formal business training. She is unsophisticated in legal matters.
- 2. Prior to 1998, Adeli purchased a one-bedroom condominium residence located at 9950 Durant Avenue, No. 408, Beverly Hills, California (the "Condo"). [PTO Admitted Fact ("PTOAF"), ¶ A.1]
- 3. Adeli's mother has lived in the Condo since Adeli purchased it.
- 4. Kader, Inc. ("Kader") is a New York corporation, wholly-owned by Adeli, incorporated on or about October 24, 2003. [PTOAF, ¶ A.60]

2.0

2.1

Where necessary or appropriate for the purposes of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052, Findings of Fact shall be construed as Conclusions of Law, and vice versa.

5. On or about December 17, 2003, Sachs commenced a lawsuit against Adeli and other defendants in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (the "New York Trial Court"), Index No. 603930/03 (the "State Court Action"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.3]

2.1

- 6. The State Court Action involved many claims and numerous defendants other than Adeli, including Klothes, LLC ("Klothes"), an entity co-owned by Sachs and Adeli. One State Court Action claim involved Sachs' attempt to recover over \$700,000 from Adeli, resulting from his purchase of bank debt owed by Klothes, which Sachs and Adeli had co-guarantied. (the "Guaranty Claim").
- 7. On or about July 15, 2004, the New York Court denied Sachs' motion for partial summary judgment in the State Court Action and dismissed the Guaranty Claim (the "Initial State Court Decision"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.4; Tr. Ex. 8]
- 8. On or about July 26, 2004, Sachs appealed the Initial State Court Decision to the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division (the "Appellate Division"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.5]
- 9. Prior to February 14, 2005, Adeli took out a mortgage (the "First Mortgage") secured by a first priority trust deed on the Condo for Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") for approximately \$146,000. [PTOAF, ¶ A.6]
- 10. On or about February 14, 2005, Washington Mutual approved Adeli for an equity credit line authorizing her to draw up to approximately \$193,000 (the "Equity Line"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.7]
- 11. A second priority trust deed on the Condo secured the Equity Line. [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.8]
- 12. On March 10, 2005, the Appellate Division reversed the Initial State Court Decision, instructing the New York Trial Court

to enter partial summary judgment in the State Court action on the Guaranty Claim in Sachs' favor (the "March 10 Decision"). [See PTOAF, ¶ A.11; Tr. Ex. 8]

2.1

- 13. Adeli's New York Lawyers believed that the March 10 Decision contradicted applicable California law regarding coguarantors' rights and obligations.
- 14. On or about April 7, 2005 (the "Judgment Date"), pursuant to the March 10 Decision, the New York Court entered in the State Court Action a partial judgment favoring Sachs (the "Judgment").

  [PTOAF, ¶ A.12]
- 15. The Judgment was for \$727,358.52, exclusive of interest and attorneys' fees. [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.13]
- 16. On April 11, 2005, Adeli filed a motion seeking leave to appeal the March 10 Decision and the Judgment (the "Appeal Motion"). [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.18]
- 17. On April 11, 2005, the Appellate Division granted an interim stay of execution on the Judgment (the "Interim Stay") pending determination of Adeli's Appeal Motion. [PTOAF, ¶ A.19]
- 18. Adeli understood that, after the Judgment Date, her New York Lawyers advised her to temporarily move her funds and Kader's funds out of New York and into the name of a family member or trusted friend in California so that Sachs would not improperly levy on Adeli's or Kader's assets in violation of the Interim Stay. Adeli had moved to California from New York prior to the Judgment Date.
- 19. Prior to the Judgment Date, Adeli maintained a checking account in her name at Valley National Bank, Account Number 83232435 (the "Adeli Valley National Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.20]

- 20. Prior to the Judgment Date, Adeli maintained an investment account in her name at Bear Stearns, Account Number 720-56777 38G (the "Bear Stearns Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.23]
- 21. Prior to the Judgment Date, Adeli, as manager of Kader, controlled a checking account in the name of "Kader, Inc." at Valley National Bank, Account Number 45400229 (the "Kader Valley National Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.24]
- 22. On April 11, 2005, Adeli drew \$150,000 on the Equity Line (the "Equity Line Funds"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.25]
- 23. Adeli drew the \$150,000 in Equity Line Funds to pay her ordinary, regular, and personal living expenses, to assist in the payment of her mother's living expenses, to re-establish herself as a designer through Kader or otherwise, to fund a potential business venture with Modjallal, to pay attorney fees and expenses related to the State Court Action, which was still pending, and to pay legal fees and expenses related to dealing with the Judgment.
- 24. Adeli did not draw on the Equity Line at an earlier date because she did not want to draw on the Equity Line and pay interest until it became necessary to use these funds to pay her personal and business expenses.
- 25. On April 12, 2005, Adeli and Modjallal opened a checking account in Modjallal's name at Bank of America, Account Number 20070-40107 (the "Shared Modjallal Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.26]
- 26. On April 12, 2005, Adeli deposited the Equity Line Funds in the Shared Modjallal Account (the "Equity Line Deposit"). [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.27]

2.1

27. On April 11, 2005, Adeli transferred \$33,000 from Adeli Valley National Account to the Kader Valley National Account (the "\$33,000 Valley National Transfer"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.31]

2.1

- 28. On April 19, 2005, Adeli wired (the "\$58,000 Wire Transfer") \$58,000 (the "Valley National Funds") from the Kader Valley National Account to a Bank of America checking account in Modjallal's name, Account Number 03927-03492 (the "Personal Modjallal Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.36]
- 29. On or about April 14, 2005, Adeli sold the securities in the Bear Stearns Account (the "Bear Stearns Sale"). [PTOAF, ¶
  A.39]
  - 30. On or about April 19, 2005, Adeli withdrew \$15,406.36 from the Bear Stearns Account (the "Bear Stearns Withdrawal"). This amount represented the Bear Stearns Sale proceeds. [PTOAF, ¶ A.41]
- 31. On May 12, 2005, the Appellate Division denied the Appeal Motion (the "May 12 Decision"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.45]
- 32. On or about May 16, 2005, Sachs filed a motion in the Appellate Division seeking to vacate and/or modify any remaining stay of the Appellate Division dated April 11, 2005 based upon the May 12 Decision (the "Stay [Vacation] Motion"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.55] On June 23, 2005, the Appellate Division rendered a decision (the "June 23 Decision") denying Sachs' Stay [Vacation] Motion as "unnecessary," and referencing the May 12 decision which denied Adeli's Appeal Motion. [PTOAF, ¶ A.56]
- 33. The Interim Stay was in effect from April 11, 2005, until May 12, 2005, and may have been in effect thereafter. Sachs threatened criminal contempt actions against Adeli, according to

Brent. These threats were discussed by counsel for Sachs and Adeli, particularly Brent, in hearings before me in the fall of 2005 shortly after Adeli commenced her bankruptcy case in September 2005 as a Chapter 11 voluntary debtor. I take judicial notice of the discussion, though I am unaware that any sanction was ordered.

- 34. Sachs' counsel and Adeli's New York Lawyers, according to Brent, disagreed whether the Interim Stay remained effective after May 12, 2005.
- 35. Adeli's New York Lawyers advised her at the time that Sachs and his counsel were highly aggressive and might levy on her assets or on Kader's New York assets despite the Interim Stay and the fact that the Judgment named only Adeli, not Kader.
- following transfers, the 36. Interim For the Stay effective or, at minimum, Adeli reasonably believed based on her good faith reliance on her New York Lawyers' advice that Interim Stay was effective and prohibited Sachs from levying on her assets: (1) when Adeli drew \$150,000 on the Equity Line; (2) when she made the \$150,000 Equity Line Deposit into the Shared Modjallal Account; (3) when she made the \$33,000 Valley National Transfer from the Adeli Valley National Account to the Kader Valley National Account; (4) when she made the \$58,000 Wire Transfer to the Personal Modjallal Account; and (5) when she made the Bear Stearns Withdrawal (collectively the "First 2005 Transfers"). Based on the evidence, Sachs did not levy on Adeli's assets, either in New York or in California, pursuant to the New York Judgment at any time prior to Adeli filing her Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition on September 8, 2005.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

37. There was a great deal of disagreement in the trial and deposition testimony and legal argument about Adeli's New York Lawyers' advice. In the end, I find Adeli's trial and deposition testimony highly credible and persuasive. Samuels' trial testimony corroborates Adeli's trial and deposition testimony regarding the advice Adeli received from her New York Lawyers shortly after the April 7, 2005 New York Judgment. I find her New York Lawyers' deposition testimony self-serving and not persuasive; it is not consistent with my view of the totality of the evidence.

2.0

2.1

- 38. At the time Adeli made the First 2005 Transfers, she reasonably and in good faith believed that her New York Lawyers had advised her to move her funds and Kader's funds out of New York and into the name of a family member or trusted friend in California to protect them from the possibility of an improper levy by Sachs.
- 39. Adeli did not make any of the First 2005 Transfers with the intent to hinder or delay improperly or to defraud Sachs.
- 40. Adeli intended the First 2005 Transfers as a temporary measure, to protect her assets (which collectively were insufficient to pay the Judgment) from what Adeli reasonably believed was a threatened and improper levy by Sachs.
- 41. Adeli made the following transfers to protect her assets from unreasonable and unlawful conduct by Sachs and his lawyers while she appealed the Sachs Judgment: the Equity Line Deposit, the \$33,000 Valley National Transfer, the \$58,000 Wire Transfer, the Bear Stearns Transfer, the \$100,000 Kader Deposit, the \$80,000 Gilmore Deposit, the July 28 Deposit, the \$40,000 Gilmore Transfer, the Condo Interest Transfer, and the \$30,000 Payment Transfer. She made these transfers based on the advice of her New York litigation

lawyers and, perhaps, partly under the New York Court's stay issued in her favor. Adeli did not act with fraudulent intent. She did not materially impede any proper Sachs collection effort.

2.0

2.1

2.2

- 42. Sachs did not levy on any Adeli assets, which he was entitled to pursue, at any time prior to Adeli's bankruptcy.
- 43. Prior to the Judgment and after, Adeli employed Samuels as her general legal counsel and strongly relied on his professional training, judgment, and advice in making many of her legal decisions.
- 44. After making the First 2005 Transfers, Adeli contacted Samuels. Adeli fully disclosed to Samuels all of the First 2005 Transfers at her first post-Judgment meeting with him. At that time, Adeli also informed Samuels that she had made the First 2005 Transfers based on advice she received from her New York Lawyers. Adeli further informed Samuels at that meeting with Samuels that she was concerned about the legal ramifications of the First 2005 Transfers.
- 45. In May 2005, Samuels referred Adeli to Brent, whom Samuels regarded as an experienced and knowledgeable bankruptcy lawyer, to advise her on the First 2005 Transfers and bankruptcy issues and to represent her in any bankruptcy case that she might file. Brent has represented several Chapter 11 debtors in my courtroom over the past 14 years. Brent is an experienced, resourceful, and effective Chapter 11 debtor's lawyer in my judgment.
- 46. In May 2005, Adeli retained Brent to advise her regarding the First 2005 Transfers and bankruptcy issues and to represent her in any bankruptcy case that she might file.

47. Adeli fully disclosed all of the First 2005 Transfers to Brent at her first meeting with him. At that time, Adeli also fully informed Brent that she had made the First 2005 Transfers based on advice she received from her New York Lawyers. further informed Brent that she was worried about the First 2005 Transfers and knew that such transfers would be scrutinized and attacked by Sachs and his counsel if there were any apparent or actual improprieties. There was conflict between Adeli's testimony and Brent's recollections of his early discussions with Adeli. On balance, I found both of them to be highly credible witnesses. Where there was a conflict between Adeli and Brent in their testimony, I found Adeli's testimony to be the most credible and persuasive. In the end, Brent advised Adeli not to undo the transfers.

48. To avoid bankruptcy, Brent worked in conjunction with Adeli's New York Lawyers to settle both Sachs' and Adeli's claims in the State Action resulting from the Judgment. The parties did not settle, though Brent treated settlement with Sachs as his primary goal. Brent also concerned himself with Adeli's practical needs to find new work, to earn money, and to reestablish herself in her chosen line of work outside of New York. Brent also was mindful of a possible Adeli bankruptcy filing, the Sachs Judgment, and Adeli's asset deficiency related to the New York Judgment. Additionally, Brent was cognizant of Adeli's ongoing personal, family, and financial obligations, and of Adeli's ongoing business needs.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

49. Because Adeli and Brent could not settle with Sachs, both Adeli and Brent understood that Sachs would continue to pursue his collection rights, even if Adeli filed bankruptcy.

2.1

- 50. The evidence and the witnesses' demeanor demonstrates that Adeli relied heavily on Samuels and Brent for legal guidance regarding the First 2005 Transfers and in Adeli's efforts to be sure that her conduct and financial records were beyond reproach by Sachs or any other creditor.
- 51. Neither Brent nor Samuels advised Adeli to undue the First 2005 Transfers. Regardless of his apprehensions, Brent advised Adeli that she should leave the funds where they were and that she could use the funds in the Shared Modjallal Account and the Personal Modjallal account for Kader's business expenses and Adeli's personal expenses. Brent advised Adeli that she should not transfer all of the funds in the Personal Modjallal account to a Kader account or all of the funds in the Shared Modjallal account to a personal Adeli account. As he testified, Brent advised Adeli in the foregoing manner because he believed that further transfers would exacerbate existing problems with the transfers and increase Sachs' suspicions.
- 52. Brent testified that he was unaware in 2005 of <u>First Beverly Bank v. Adeeb (In re Adeeb)</u>, 787 F.2d 1339, 1343-46 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986), but that in retrospect, if he had been aware of the decision he would have advised Adeli to transfer all of the funds in the Personal Modjallal account to a Kader account and all of the funds in the Shared Modjallal account to a personal Adeli account.
- 53. If Brent or Samuels had advised Adeli to transfer all of the funds in the Personal Modjallal account to a Kader account or

all of the funds in the Shared Modjallal account to a personal Adeli account, I am convinced by the evidence that Adeli would have In managing her accounts, Adeli did not interfere with or deprive Sachs of any legal right he had; she did not cheat or deceive Sachs. Rather, she sought to pursue her personal goals of reestablishing herself in California, confronting and resolving her debt to Sachs and her differences with Sachs, and employing her California legal advisors to achieve what she believed were proper and responsible reorganization purposes. She did this bу attempting to settle with Sachs, by filing for bankruptcy, and by pursuing her normal ongoing personal and business activities. These activities were undertaken to benefit all of her prepetition creditors, including Sachs, as well as to make her fresh start after the disappointment of her New York business failure with Sachs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

54. Shortly after retaining Brent, Adeli informed him that one of her goals was to protect her mother's residence in the Condo by selling her mother an interest in the Condo. Adeli informed Brent that she wanted to ensure that any sale of an interest in the Condo to her mother was legal and would not jeopardize her right to a bankruptcy discharge.

55. In the spring and summer of 2005, Adeli acted honestly and in good faith with these goals in mind. She believed that she listened to and followed the advice of each of her seven lawyers (four in New York and three in California) advising her at the time. She cooperated with each of her lawyers, giving them full, complete, consistent, and accurate information about her assets, liabilities, and affairs.

56. Samuels assisted Adeli to evaluate, negotiate, and document selling the Condo interest to Adeli's mother, Mehri Majidi ("Majidi"). Adeli retained California attorneys Weintraub & Selth to represent Majidi in the sale.

2.1

- 57. On or about June 1, 2005, Adeli and her mother entered into a Purchase Agreement, providing for Adeli to transfer a 25 percent interest in her Condo to her mother in return for a \$30,000 payment (the "Condo Purchase Agreement"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.48] At the time, and under the circumstances, Adeli reasonably believed that the price fairly approximated the market value of her 25 percent equity interest in the Condo.
- 58. Adeli did not dictate the specific terms of the Condo Purchase Agreement; the professionals retained to represent Adeli and Majidi drafted it. They based its drafting on financial realities and the overriding direction from Adeli that she wanted a legal transaction, beyond reproach by Sachs or any other creditor, notwithstanding her legal and financial difficulties with Sachs. This was so even though Adeli paid Majidi's lawyer and sat with Majidi to translate and explain the advice and documents provided to Majidi, who does not speak English, from Majidi's lawyers.
- 59. On August 25, 2005 (the "Condo Transfer Date"), Adeli executed a Grant Deed (the "Grant Deed") transferring a 25 percent interest in the Condo (the "Condo Interest") to Majidi (the "Condo Interest Transfer"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.80]
- 60. The Condo Interest Transfer and its terms were based on Brent's and Samuel's advice. The Condo Interest Transfer was made on behalf of Majidi, at Adeli's specific request, and with Adeli's help, as well as with help from Weintraub & Selth.

61. Adeli's brother and sister gave Adeli two checks totaling \$30,000 (the "\$30,000 Payment") in payment for Adeli's transfer of the Condo Interest to Adeli's mother. [PTOAF, ¶ A.84]

2.1

- 62. The \$30,000 Payment consisted of (i) a \$20,000 check (the "\$20,000 Check"), dated August 25, 2005 from Max Adeli, Adeli's brother, to Adeli, and (ii) a \$10,000 check (the "\$10,000 Check"), dated August 25, 2005 from Homa Adeli, Adeli's sister, to Adeli. [PTOAF, ¶ A.85]
- 63. Adeli endorsed the \$20,000 Check and the \$10,000 Check to Paul H. Samuels, or his office, to pay him to represent her with respect to Sachs' efforts to domesticate the Judgment in California and for related matters (the "\$30,000 Payment Transfer"). [See PTOAF, ¶ A.87]
- 64. The \$30,000 Payment is arguably less than the value of 25 percent of Adeli's equity in the Condo at the time of the Condo Interest Transfer (JPTO ¶¶ 6-7, 49-50; Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 7 at Schedule A). That claim, however, as articulated by Sachs, was disputed by Adeli and has been settled amicably between Adeli and Adeli's Chapter 7 Trustee, a fact of which I take judicial notice based on Adeli's bankruptcy case record.
- 65. As part of what Brent considered appropriate pre-bankruptcy planning, he advised Adeli to set up a pension through Kader. On or about May 28, 2005, Adeli retained Waldman (her third California lawyer), an attorney specializing in estates, tax, and business planning. Waldman assisted Adeli in domesticating Kader in California and creating a Kader pension plan for Adeli's benefit. [PTOAF, ¶ A.47] Waldman advised Adeli that she could make the Pension Plan effective as of 2004, which would allow for a

contribution for 2004 and 2005 because Kader's 2004 tax returns had not yet been filed. Waldman prepared the Pension Plan and all related Pension Plan documents, including the Pension Certification, based on his professional knowledge and expertise.

- 66. On or about June 8, 2005, Adeli executed a "Kader, Inc. Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Trust" (the "Pension Plan", which included a certification that the Pension Plan was adopted by resolution executed "on" December 31, 2004 (the "Pension Certification"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.51] Waldman credibly convincingly explained in his testimony that "on" was [his] scrivener's error and that he should have said "as of December 31, 2004."
- 67. Waldman advised Adeli to fund a general bank account and a Kader pension fund account. He also advised Adeli to contribute \$80,000 to the pension fund account. Waldman concluded that this was the maximum allowable contribution for 2004 and 2005, as determined by actuaries Waldman employed for that purpose.
- 68. On June 27, 2005, Adeli opened a business checking account in Kader's name at Gilmore Bank, Account Number 1197010 (the "First Gilmore Checking Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.58]
- 69. On June 27, 2005, Adeli opened an additional business checking account in Kader's name at Gilmore Bank, Account Number 1197029 (the "Second Gilmore Checking Account"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.59]
- 70. On June 27, 2005, Adeli wrote a \$100,000 check drawn on the Shared Modjallal Account, payable to Kader, Inc. (the "\$100,000 Kader Check"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.61]

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

71. On June 27, 2005, Adeli deposited the \$100,000 Kader Check into the First Gilmore Checking Account (the "\$100,000 Kader Deposit"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.62]

2.1

- 72. On June 27, 2005, Adeli wrote an \$80,000 check, drawn on the First Gilmore Checking Account, payable to Kader (the "\$80,000 Check"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.65]
- 73. On June 27, 2005, Adeli deposited the \$80,000 Check into the Second Gilmore Checking Account (the "\$80,000 Gilmore Deposit"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.66] She made the \$80,000 Gilmore Deposit to fund the Pension Plan. These transactions were based directly on Waldman's advice.
- 74. Paul Brent testified that he was unaware that Adeli funded the Pension Plan with proceeds from the \$100,000 Kader Deposit (Transcript of Trial Proceedings, October 25, 2007, Brent testimony, at 47:25-48:17), but Adeli testified persuasively that she told both Samuels and Brent about her funding of the Pension Plan in May 2005. I am persuaded by the evidence that Brent, whose employment was terminated by Adeli in 2006, simply forgot this detail by the time he testified in the October 25, 2007 trial of this adversary. Brent's memory lapse seems understandable given the many details he discussed with Adeli in 2005 as he tried, unsuccessfully, to settle with Sachs and to avoid an Adeli bankruptcy.
- 75. On July 28, 2005, Adeli deposited \$4,589.21 into the Shared Modjallal Account (the "July 28 Deposit"). [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.69]
- 76. On August 12, 2005, Adeli transferred \$40,000 from the Second Gilmore Checking Account to the First Gilmore Checking

Account via two checks, each for \$20,000 (the "\$40,000 Gilmore Transfer"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.74]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 77. Adeli made the \$40,000 Gilmore Transfer because she needed the money to pay normal expenses.
- 78. Adeli at all times had unfettered access to the Shared Modjallal Account; she either devoted all of the funds in the account to her normal, ongoing expenses before she filed her bankruptcy petition, or she accounted for them when they became assets of her bankruptcy estate.
- 79. Adeli made the following prepetition transfers based on her lawyers' advice: the \$100,000 Kader Deposit into the First Gilmore Account, the \$80,000 Gilmore Deposit into the Second \$40,000 Gilmore Transfer from the Second Gilmore Account, the Gilmore Account to the First Gilmore Account, the July 28 Deposit, the Condo Interest Transfer, the \$30,000 Payment Transfer, as well as all withdrawals from the Personal Modjallal Account, the Shared Modjallal Account, and the First Gilmore Account (collectively the "Second 2005 Transfers"). Brent was aware of these transfers and did not advise Adeli that she could not make them or should undo transfers previously made. Samuels was aware of the transfers establishing the Kader Pension Plan and he discussed them with Brent. These transfers appeared to be normal and proper to Adeli's lawyers.
- 80. While Sachs points to many "suspicious" prepetition transfers, claiming that Adeli transferred her property intending to "hinder, delay, or defraud" him in violation of § 727 (a)(2)(A), the Supreme Court in an earlier statutory dispute warned against reading the bankruptcy statutes mechanically. Bank of Marin v.

England, 385 U.S. 99 at 103 (1966) ("we do not read . . . statutory words with the ease of a computer. There is an overriding consideration that equitable principles govern the exercise of bankruptcy jurisdiction.")

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 81. Adeli did not make any of the Second 2005 Transfers intending to hinder, delay, or defraud any creditor. Rather, she sought to temporarily protect her assets from Sachs and his "very aggressive" New York lawyers' improper collection efforts while she tried to fulfill her personal and business needs, which included facing up to the Sachs Judgment in a responsible way.
- Any transfers between Adeli and Kader had no material effect on Sachs' efforts to collect on his judgment or on the value of Adeli's bankruptcy estate. In fact, there is no evidence of any collection action by Sachs prior to Adeli's bankruptcy petition, other than domesticating the New York Judgment in California shortly before Adeli filed her Chapter 11 petition. Transfers from Adeli to Kader increased the always uncertain value of Adeli's 100 percent equity interest in Kader which was acknowledged in Adeli's Schedules. Transfers from Adeli to Kader maintained the value of Adeli's estate on a dollar for dollar basis. None of the transfers between Adeli and Kader actually hindered, delayed, or defrauded Sachs or any other Adeli creditor. No Adeli or Kader transfer hindered, delayed, or defrauded Sachs, or in any way cheated him out of any lawful process that he properly asserted against Adeli prepetition.
- 83. Adeli made all withdrawals from the Personal Modjallal Account, the Shared Modjallal Account, and the First Gilmore Account to pay her personal and business expenses that were

ordinary, reasonable, and, for the most part, well documented. She withdrew cash prepetition in relatively nominal amounts to pay for her normal personal and business needs.

- 84. Modjallal used none of the Adeli money for Modjallal purposes.
- 85. In connection with her bankruptcy case, including prepetition and postpetition expenditures, Adeli made no false oath, knowingly or fraudulently, at any time. She acted to keep her financial affairs private. Most people do before they file a voluntary bankruptcy. When she filed her bankruptcy papers she accounted for all of her assets, liabilities, and financial transactions truthfully and under oath.
- 86. Adeli made all withdrawals from the Personal Modjallal Account, the Shared Modjallal Account, and the First Gilmore Account based on Brent's advice and with Samuel's knowledge.
- 87. Adeli commenced her bankruptcy case by filing a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 on September 8, 2005 (the "Petition Date"). [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.122]
- 88. Shortly after the Petition Date, Brent asked Modjallal to turn over all remaining funds belonging to Adeli. Modjallal promptly turned over all such funds by delivering to Brent two checks drawn on the Personal Modjallal Account and the Shared Modjallal Account. Brent lost these checks, but promptly after he discovered his error and notified Modjallal, she replaced them.
- 89. Thus, on November 18, 2005, Brent sent a letter to Modjallal making a second request that Modjallal turn over all monies belonging to Adeli. [PTOAF, ¶ A.106]

2.1

90. On December 8, 2005, Modjallal wrote a \$37,000 check (the "\$37,000 Check") on the Personal Modjallal Account payable to Adeli. [PTOAF, ¶ A.110]

2.1

- 91. Modjallal sent the \$37,000 Check to Brent, who subsequently turned over the funds to Adeli's Chapter 7 trustee. [PTOAF,  $\P$  A.112]
- 92. On December 8, 2005, Modjallal wrote a \$356.19 check (the "\$356.19 Check") on the Shared Modjallal Account payable to Adeli. [PTOAF, ¶ A.107]
- 93. Modjallal sent the \$356.19 Check to Brent, who subsequently turned over the funds to Adeli's Chapter 7 trustee.  $[PTOAF, \P A.109]$
- 94. Adeli timely filed her Schedules of Assets and Liabilities (the "Schedules" and each, "Schedule") and her Statement of Financial Affairs (the "SOFA"), with my written consent, on October 21, 2005. [PTOAF, ¶ A.123]
- 95. Adeli made an oath under penalty of perjury that she read the answers contained in her SOFA, and any attachments, and that such answers were true and correct to the best of her knowledge.

  [PTOAF, ¶ A.124]
- 96. Adeli made an oath under penalty of perjury that she read her Schedules and that they were true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief. [PTOAF, ¶ A.125]
- 97. Brent prepared Adeli's Schedules and SOFA after Adeli's full and accurate disclosure. He did so with full knowledge of the First 2005 Transfers, the Second 2005 Transfers, and all matters material to the preparation of Adeli's Schedules and SOFA. Brent conferred with Samuels regarding the content of the Schedules and

SOFA. Adeli relied on her highly experienced bankruptcy lawyer's, and to some extent, her general California lawyer's, training and experience when she signed her Schedules and SOFA. She did so carefully and in good faith, with no intent to conceal, evade, or deceive Sachs or her creditors.

- 98. Brent's recollections at trial, more than two years after representing Adeli, were understandably imperfect. Adeli's testimony regarding her discussions with each of her seven lawyers in 2005 was thorough, consistent, highly credible, and persuasive. I am persuaded that any discrepancies between Adeli's and Brent's testimony resulted from Brent's prolonged absence from involvement with Adeli's legal affairs.
- 99. Adeli reasonably relied on her lawyers to prepare accurate Schedules and to prepare an accurate SOFA. She reasonably believed that these papers properly reflected all of the information that she disclosed to and discussed with her lawyers. Adeli disclosed and discussed this information with her lawyers to avoid any question of a § 727 violation that any creditor or trustee might later assert.
- 100. On Question 9 of her SOFA, Adeli disclosed the \$30,000 Payment Transfer.
- 101. On Question 10 of her SOFA, Adeli disclosed the Condo Interest Transfer.
- 102. On Question 10 of her SOFA, Adeli acknowledged the April 1, 2005 \$208,000 transfer to Modjallal, including the \$150,000 Equity Line Deposit into the Shared Modjallal Account and the \$58,000 Wire Transfer into the Personal Modjallal Account.

2.0

2.1

103. Adeli responded accurately to Question 10 of her SOFA which asks: "List all other property . . . transferred within one year immediately preceding the commencement date of this case," not "what was the net amount of funds transferred within one year before commencement after deducting expenditures." Adeli fully accounted for her prepetition expenditures from the Shared Modjallal Account. Adeli used those funds for proper purposes. She answered every question put to her in this bankruptcy case and adversary truthfully and properly under the circumstances. Adeli did not deceive Sachs.

104. On Question 11 of her SOFA, Adeli properly disclosed closing the Adeli Valley National Account, the Kader Valley National Account, the Bear Stearns Account, and the Bank of America Account.

105. Adeli's Schedule A lists the current market value ("CMV") of Adeli's Condo interest at \$525,000, without deducting any secured claim or exemption. [PTOAF, ¶ A.126]

106. Item No. 2 on Adeli's Schedule B lists the following items as having a \$360 CMV: Adeli's checking, savings, or other financial accounts, certificates of deposit, or shares in banks, savings and loan, thrift, building and loan, homestead associations, credit unions, or brokerage houses. [PTOAF, ¶ A.127] This disclosure was accurate and proper. Brent intended it to convey the balance remaining in the Shared Modjallal Account as of the Petition Date.

107. Item No. 11 on Adeli's Schedule B lists an "Interest in ERISA Qualified Pension" as having a \$40,000 CMV. [PTOAF, ¶ A.128]

2.1

108. Item No. 12 on Adeli's Schedule B lists a "100 Interest in Kader, Inc." as having an "unknown" CMV. [PTOAF, ¶ A.129]

2.0

2.1

109. Brent listed Adeli's 100 percent Interest in Kader, Inc., this was based on his opinion that "Kader was a personal services company and its value was, therefore, not necessarily the balance of assets after deducting liabilities." The Schedule B answer was accurate, proper, and not intended to deceive Sachs; the answer did not deceive Sachs.

110. Item No. 33 on Adeli's Schedule B lists "other personal property of any kind not already listed" as having a \$35,000 CMV. With this disclosure, Brent intended to convey the Personal Modjallal Account's remaining balance as of the Petition Date. The disclosure was reasonably accurate.

- 111. Schedule C lists a \$40,000 claimed exemption for an "Interest in [an] ERISA Qualified Pension". [PTOAF, ¶ A.130]
- 112. Schedule C lists an exemption of 0.00 for a "100% Interest in Kader, Inc." [PTOAF, ¶ A.131]
- 113. None of the information in Adeli's Schedules and SOFA was improper, inaccurate, intended to deceive Sachs or any other Adeli creditor, her Chapter 7 Trustee, or the United States Trustee. Adeli did not knowingly or fraudulently make any false oath in her bankruptcy papers.
- 114. With the \$37,000 Check and \$356.19 Check, Adeli recovered for her estate, promptly and in a business-like manner, the funds remaining in the Personal Modjallal Account and the Shared Modjallal Account as of the Petition Date. Any previous withdrawals were made by Adeli to pay Adeli's normal personal and business, prepetition and debtor in possession, expenses.

115. On January 17, 2006, Adeli filed with the Office of the United States Trustee (the "OUST") monthly operating reports ("MOR") for September, October, November, and December 2005 (collectively the "MORs"). [PTOAF, ¶ A.139]

116. Adeli timely provided her attorneys and accountants full access to her bank accounts and records to help them prepare the MORs.

117. Adeli's accountants prepared the MORs, and Brent reviewed them before they were filed.

118. Any alleged inaccuracies in the MORs resulted from mistake or inadvertence by Adeli, or by the professionals retained by Adeli. Inaccuracies may have also resulted from Adeli's fall 2005 business travels to the Far East.

119. None of the statements regarding material facts in the MORs were both false and made either knowingly or fraudulently by Rather, Adeli's business travel, her stays in China, and her reliance on lawyers and accountants caused some errors. errors stemmed from practical difficulties that Adeli and her professionals faced while preparing and filing the MORs. Further problems resulted from commonly experienced and understandable, debtor-in-possession-banking-difficulties, which Brent persuasively explained at trial. Adeli and her professionals faced these difficulties during the early phase of her bankruptcy case. was no wrongdoing. None of the errors were material, especially considering the favorable earnings and business results that Adeli achieved as a Chapter 11 debtor and debtor in possession during that time period, including the November 2005 commencement of her

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

\$41,667 monthly salary from her new employment with Seven for All Mankind ("Seven"), a clothing manufacturer, as discussed below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

120. Adeli did not knowingly or fraudulently make any false oath in her MORs. Sachs was not deceived.

121. All Adeli transfers were made in the ordinary course of Adeli's circumstances. They were not wrongful, false, or fraudulent concerning Sachs. Adeli acted properly to facilitate her changed circumstances. These circumstances included Adeli's relocation to California to search for a new job and other business opportunities as a successful, sought after clothes designer.

about any of Adeli's postpetition conduct, her testimony or statements in this litigation, or in Adeli's bankruptcy papers. From May 2005 through the date immediately preceding the Petition Date, Adeli spent about \$150,000 of the funds that she had deposited in the Shared Modjallal Account (JPTO ¶101) for ordinary, personal, business, and legal expenses. Such expenses were necessary, especially when considering her goals of supporting herself and pursuing legitimate business opportunities.

123. Shortly after filing her Chapter 11 petition, Adeli negotiated and received an important written contract proposal with As an independent contractor, a three-year term from Seven. Adeli's monthly salary was proposed to be \$41,667. She received her first monthly salary payment from Seven in November 2005, as reflected in her monthly operating report (MOR) filed with the United States Trustee's Office (Ex. 25). The proposed Seven contract included significant royalty opportunities for Adeli, who was then а debtor in possession pursuing a Chapter 11

reorganization of her liabilities. Adeli's contract was approved by Adeli's Chapter 7 Trustee and by my order in due course. The foregoing is based on the record in Adeli's bankruptcy case, later converted to Chapter 7, on Sachs' motion, as to all of which I take judicial notice. By October 2006, Adeli had voluntarily settled with her Chapter 7 Trustee essentially all of the financial wrongdoing claims asserted in this lawsuit, as asserted by Sachs. The settlement between Adeli and her Chapter 7 Trustee represented a reasonable plan to repay Adeli's creditors from the proceeds of Adeli's Seven contract. I approved this settlement over Sachs' vigorous objection in an oral ruling and in an order entered in Adeli's bankruptcy case on October 11, 2006. See Exhibit 21.

2.1

124. The Sachs Judgment rendered Adeli insolvent. Each of what Sachs has characterized as a "Transfer" was made while Adeli was insolvent. The evidence here could lead to conflicting inferences, but I conclude that Adeli did not move funds fraudulently or with an intent to avoid paying Sachs the money she owed him.

125. While the Sachs pleadings and briefs in this adversary speak repeatedly about "fraud," there is <u>no</u> evidence of conduct on Adeli's part that Adeli intended to deceive, cheat, or defraud Sachs (meaning, generally in a bankruptcy context, "misrepresentation of material fact intended to deceive, that the victim justifiably relied on, and that proximately resulted in damage to the victim").

126. The evidence reveals that, pre-petition, Adeli acted privately, as most people do with regard to their financial matters. She made a series of private decisions to rearrange her

affairs to reflect her lack of success in her New York business activities, to facilitate her ongoing efforts to get back on her feet, and to secure new business opportunities from a California base. There is no evidence that any Adeli act from April 2005 until her bankruptcy filing in September 2005 was intended to, or did, deprive Sachs of any remedy that he was entitled to and properly sought through any legal process.

127. While Sachs asserts that Adeli acted with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud him and that he has established by the evidence many of the "badges of fraud" recognized in <a href="Emmett Valley">Emmett Valley</a>
<a href="Assoc.v.Woodfield">Assoc.v.Woodfield</a> (In re Woodfield), 978 F.2d 516 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992), Sachs has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Adeli is guilty of any wrongdoing.

128. Adeli never placed anything beyond Sachs' reach; she never hindered, delayed, or defrauded Sachs. Cf. id. at 519. In Woodfield, the court found active wrongdoing towards creditors on the debtor's part that was clearly material. By contrast, Adeli acknowledged her financial difficulties by filing bankruptcy under Chapter 11 and pursuing business opportunities as a Chapter 11 debtor and debtor in possession. Adeli did not cheat Sachs out of recovering on the New York Judgment. Repayment of Sachs was reasonably provided out of Adeli's assets through Adeli's business efforts. Such a recovery was provided for, if not guaranteed, by the settlement agreement with Adeli's Chapter 7 Trustee, which I approved by my October 11, 2006 order in Adeli's bankruptcy case.

129. In the end, the evidence proves conclusively that Adeli used her pre-New York Judgment resources lawfully prepetition; she used all her resources postpetition to pay Sachs and her creditors.

She worked to exploit her talent for clothes design to benefit herself, her family, and her creditors. She continued these efforts during her Chapter 11 bankruptcy case and even after conversion of her bankruptcy to Chapter 7.

2.1

130. At the same time, it is telling that Sachs' closing brief filed on February 1, 2008 repeatedly invokes the term "fraud," or some derivative thereof, to characterize Adeli's conduct. After fully considering all of the evidence and testimony, however, I find as follows: nothing in the record establishes (1) that Adeli tried to cheat or deceive Sachs; (2) that she misrepresented any material fact to him; (3) that she failed to account for her assets or activities; or (4) that she caused Sachs any loss through wrongful conduct. Sachs overstates, especially in his use of the term "fraud."

131. I note finally that Sachs did not testify, either by deposition (to my knowledge) or in the trial of this matter.

132. As a footnote, I take judicial notice from the record in Adeli's bankruptcy case of the following: Adeli's contract with Seven was terminated June 27, 2007. That apparently left Adeli unable to make ongoing payments to her Chapter 7 Trustee as required by her 2006 settlement agreement with the Trustee. Shortly after, the Trustee sued Adeli, Kader, and Sachs in August 2007, in order to exercise the Trustee's right to collateral pledged by Adeli under the settlement agreement. Those lawsuits were settled this year in simultaneous, cross-referenced agreements between the Trustee and Adeli and between the Trustee and Sachs. Before the 2008 settlements, the Trustee had recovered about

\$265,000 in cash payments received from Adeli under the 2006 settlement. Under the latest settlements, the Trustee believes she will recover about \$200,000 more from Adeli's pledged assets. This will bring the Trustee's total recovery for the estate to about \$465,000. Sachs agreed to waive his claim to a prepetition lien against Adeli's assets while reserving all his unsecured claims against Adeli, including his § 523 claims. (Sachs' 523 claims in this adversary were severed for possible later trial.) Releases were exchanged in each agreement. Adeli received the right to any claim she has to royalties due to her under her now-terminated Seven contract. The recent settlements were approved on the Trustee's motion, after notice, without objection or hearing. An order was entered to that effect on March 11, 2008.

133. The Trustee's prospective recovery of \$465,000 from Adeli exceeds the approximate \$400,000 liquidation value of Adeli's prepetition assets as of the Sachs Judgment date, April 12, 2005. It would appear from the foregoing that Adeli, as a bankruptcy debtor, has acted responsibly toward Sachs and her other creditors.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

#### JURISDICTION

- A. This court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 151, 157, and 1334.
- B. Venue in this court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409, as this adversary proceeding arises under and in connection with a case under Title 11 which is pending in this District.

2.0

2.1

C. This is a core proceeding as defined by 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) (2) (J).

## WAIVER OF CLAIMS

- D. By way of the Joint Pretrial Order, Sachs only sought to prove his § 727(a)(2)(A) Claims based on the following transactions: (1) the Equity Line Deposit; (2) the \$33,000 Valley National Transfer; (3) the \$58,000 Wire Transfer; (4) the Bear Stearns Withdrawal; (5) the \$100,000 Kader Deposit; (6) the \$80,000 Gilmore Deposit; (7) the July 28 Deposit; (8) the \$40,000 Gilmore Transfer; (9) the Condo Interest Transfer; and (10) the \$30,000 Payment Transfer (collectively the "Subject Transfers"). PTO, at ¶¶ C.1 C.21 & B.1 B.10.
- E. By way of the Joint Pretrial Order, Sachs only sought to prove his § 727(a)(4)(A) Claims based on the following evidence: (1) Adeli's SOFA Question 10 Response; (2) statements in the MORs signed by Adeli; and (3) Adeli's statements regarding the Equity Line, the Wire Transfer, the \$100,000 Kader Deposit, the \$80,000 Gilmore Deposit, her use of the funds in the Personal Modjallal Account and the Shared Modjallal Account for "ordinary," "regular," and/or "personal" expenses, and Adeli's statements regarding the balance of funds remaining in the Personal Modjallal Account and the Shared Modjallal Account as of the Petition Date (collectively, the "Subject Statements").

2

1

4 5

6 7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

- F. By way of the Joint Pretrial Order, Sachs only sought to prove causes of action under §§ 727(a)(2)(A) and 727(a)(4). PTO, at ¶ C.1 C.21.
- G. The Joint Pretrial Order supersedes the pleadings. PTO, at  $\P$  J; Local Bankruptcy Rule 7016-1(b)(2)(J).
- H. Based on the foregoing, (1) Sachs waived his right to prove his § 727(a)(2)(A) Claims based on transfers other than the Subject Transfers; (2) Sachs waived his right to prove his § 727(a)(2)(A) Claims based on statements other than the Subject Statements; and (3) Sachs waived his right to seek a denial of Adeli's discharge pursuant to all other subsections of § 727, including, but not limited to, §§ 727(a)(3) and 727(a)(5).
- I. It clearly appears from the Joint Pretrial Order that Sachs waived his claims under § 727(a)(3) concerning Adeli's previously alleged failure to keep records and to document information from which Adeli's financial condition and business transactions might be ascertained [to paraphrase § 727(a)(3)]. Regardless of that waiver, Adeli thoroughly explained any loss of assets and deficiency of assets to meet her liabilities. In addition, Adeli made voluntary, thorough, and court-approved written settlement agreements in 2006 and 2008, which reasonably will lead to recovery by Sachs and any other Adeli creditor for any loss of assets or deficiency in Adeli's assets to meet her prepetition liabilities.

# SECTION 727(a)(2)(A) CLAIMS

- J. Sachs has the burden of proving his objections to Adeli's discharge pursuant to § 727(a)(2)(A). Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4005; accord Aubrey v. Thomas (In re Aubrey), 111 B.R. 268, 272 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. BAP 1990).
- K. Section 727(a)(2)(A) "must be construed liberally in favor of [Adeli] and strictly against [Sachs]." Beauchamp v. Hoose (In re Beauchamp), 236 B.R. 727, 730 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir BAP 1999); Devers v. Bank of Sheridan, Montana (In re Devers), 759 F.2d 751, 754 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).
- L. To prevail on his claims under § 727(a)(2)(A), Sachs must prove that: (1) Adeli transferred or concealed property; (2) the subject property belonged to Adeli; (3) the transfer occurred within one year of Adeli's Petition Date; and (4) Adeli executed the transfer with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor. Aubrey, 111 B.R. at 273.
- M. A debtor does not violate § 727(a)(2)(A) by establishing and funding a pension plan in conjunction with pre-bankruptcy exemption planning. Gill v. Stern (In re Stern), 345 F.3d 1036, 1044-1045 (9th Cir. 2003).
- N. No § 727(a)(2) claims exist where the debtor (1) made the subject transfers in good faith reliance on the advice of counsel (the "Advice of Counsel Exception"), or (2) has disclosed the subject transfers, made an effort to recover the property that was

transferred and actually does recover the property (the "Disclose and Recover Exception"). First Beverly Bank v. Adeeb (In re Adeeb), 787 F.2d 1339,  $1343-46 \text{ (9}^{th} \text{ Cir. } 1986)$ ; see also Avco Fin. Services of Billings v. Sullivan (In re Sullivan), 111 B.R. 317, 322 (Bankr. D. Mont. 1990) ("Incorrect advice of counsel should not be imputed against the Debtor under § 727."); Rice v. Creative National Systems, Inc. (In re Rice), 109 B.R. 405 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. In addition, Adeli clearly employed her assets properly, for normal prepetition and postpetition expenses. She fully accounted for her use of assets. None of Adeli's expenditures or her written or testimonial accounts of them were knowingly false or fraudulent. Nowhere in this bankruptcy case did she make a false oath or account.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

in a voluntary bankruptcy Ο. Adeeb states that transfers must be recovered before the petition date; in an involuntary bankruptcy case, transfers can be recovered after the petition date. In this case, the principles of Adeeb and § 727 should be interpreted broadly enough to include Adeli's exculpatory pre- and postpetition conduct. See Bank of Marin v. England, 385 U.S. at 103. First, the prepetition conduct was no worse than suspicious; none of it was materially wrongful. Adeli's posttransfer and postpetition conduct has been exemplary. The essence of Adeeb's holding is that disclosure should be voluntary and complete and that all disclosed assets should be made available to

the estate's creditors. None of Adeli's transfers was material. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

None resulted in a wrongful diminution of Adeli's prepetition None of Adeli's prepetition transfers was fraudulent, assets. deceitful, or designed to cheat Sachs. All of Adeli's assets were fully disclosed and made available to creditors of the estate promptly and cooperatively by Adeli. Adeli and Adeli's Chapter 7 Trustee quickly settled any doubts or claims against Adeli in a businesslike manner. A full recovery for Adeli's prepetition creditors is in prospect.

- Ρ. I take judicial notice, sua sponte, of the fact that in 2006, Adeli settled several significant avoidance claims with her Chapter 7 Trustee, over Sachs' objections and after a hearing. net effect of the settlement was to return to the Adeli bankruptcy estate every transfer questioned by the Trustee. I approved the settlement in a ruling that I outlined orally on the record at the hearing and in an order entered October 11, 2006. Adeli's conduct differs significantly in Adeli's favor from the debtor's culpable conduct as discussed in Devers, 75 F.3d at 754.
- The court should take into account a debtor's lack of Ο. sophistication and the totality of the debtor's conduct when determining whether the debtor possessed the intent required to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence by a creditor seeking denial of discharge under § 727(a)(2)(A). See In re Greene, 340 B.R. 93 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 2006); see also Citibank South Dakota v.

1 Dougherty (In re Dougherty), 84 B.R. 653, 657 (9th Cir. BAP 1988)(a 2 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

debtor's level of financial sophistication is one of the facts determining intent relevant to in the context of nondischargeability actions); accord American Express Travel Related Servs. Co. Inc. v. Hashemi (In re Hashemi), 104 F.3d 1122, 1125 (9th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1230, 117 S.Ct. 1824, 137 L.Ed.2d 1031 (1997).

Based upon my Findings of Fact, and after balancing all the possible inferences from the evidence, I conclude that Sachs did not meet his burden and that no basis exists to deny Adeli's discharge under § 727(a)(2)(A). None of the Subject Transfers was intended to hinder, delay, or defraud Sachs or any other Adeli creditor. Each was, or led directly to, an ordinary and proper expenditure by Adeli, was made with the knowledge and advice of counsel, or was properly accounted for, and recovered, to the extent necessary to exonerate Adeli.

#### SECTION 727(a)(4)(A) CLAIMS

- Sachs has the burden of proving his objection to Adeli's S. discharge pursuant to § 727(a)(4)(A). Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4005; accord Aubrey 111 B.R. at 272.
- Т. Section 727(a)(4)(A) "must be construed liberally favor of [Adeli] and strictly against [Sachs]." Beauchamp, 236 B.R. at 730; accord Devers, 759 F.2d at 754.

27

1 7

U. In order to prevail on his claim under § 727(a)(4)(A), Sachs must prove that: (1) Adeli knowingly and fraudulently made a false oath; and (2) the oath related to a material fact. 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4)(A). Aubrey, 111 B.R. at 274.

V. A person acts knowingly only if she acts deliberately and consciously. Roberts v. Erhard (In re Roberts), 331 B.R. 876, 884 (9th Cir. BAP 2005). Accordingly, "[a] debtor will not be denied a discharge if a false statement is due to mistake or inadvertence." Brown, 108 F.3d at 1293; see Roberts, 331 B.R. at 884 (a careless and reckless approach to a reporting duty does not rise to the level of "knowing"). "Moreover, an honest error or mere inaccuracy is not a proper basis for denial of a discharge." Id. The evidence before me stands in sharp contrast to the evidence of wrongdoing that the court had before it in Khalil v. Developers Sur. & Indem. Co. (In re Khalil), 379 B.R. 163 (9th Cir. BAP 2007); in Hansen v. Moore (In re Hansen), 368 B.R. 868 at 877-78; and in Aubrey, 11 B.R. at 273-74.

W. Based upon the Findings of Fact, I conclude that Sachs did not meet his burden and that there exists no basis for denying Adeli's discharge under § 727(a)(4)(A). Roberts, 331 B.R. at 883-85. None of the Subject Statements were (1) false, and (2) made knowingly and fraudulently, and (3) related to a material fact.

X. There were many unusual or suspicious Adeli transfers post-Judgment and prepetition, but upon careful examination of all

the evidence and circumstances in this dispute, I conclude that Adeli acted in good faith and on the advice of her attorneys. At the same time, Sachs did not initiate any enforcement action during Adeli's prepetition period that was interrupted or frustrated by Adeli's conduct. When Adeli filed bankruptcy she honestly disclosed her assets. Adeli conducted her bankruptcy case truthfully and without deception. Adeli cooperated with her Chapter 7 Trustee and settled voluntarily the allegations of misconduct against her, with my approval, after notice and a hearing and over Sachs' objection. Adeli has voluntarily met her prepetition obligations to Sachs and her other creditors.

Y. Judgment on Sachs' 727 claims in this adversary should be entered in favor of Adeli.

March 27, 2008

THOMAS B. DONOVAN BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

# NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER AND CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

# TO ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST LISTED BELOW:

1. You are hereby notified that a judgment or order entitled:

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONLCUSIONS OF LAW

was entered on 3/27/08.

2. I hereby certify that I mailed a true copy of the order or judgment to the persons and entities listed below on 3/21/08.

Plaintiff's Attorneys Isaac Pachulski Frank Merola Nathan Schultz 1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Aaron Richard Golub 42 East 64<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10021

14 | Debtor/Defendant Katayone Adeli 15 | 9950 Durante Drive, No. 408 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

<u>Debtor/Defendant's Attorneys</u>

17 Craig Rankin
Todd Arnold
Levene Neale Bender Rankin & Brill
10250 Constellation Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Chapter 7 Trustee
Nancy Knupfer
Danning, Gill, Diamond & Kollitz
2029 Century Park East, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2904

Office of the U. S. Trustee Ernst & Young Plaza 725 S. Figueroa St., 26<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017

Dated: 3/21/08

fat fat Clerk

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

20

21

22

23

24

26

27