Case 2:16-bk-25473-RK Doc 20 Filed 09/20/18 Entered 09/20/18 11:47:30 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 2

1

3

4

5

6

LAW OFFICE OF CAMERON SANCHEZ

2440 S. Hacienda Boulevard Suite #212

Hacienda Heights, CA 91745

(626) 961-8957 Bar Number 179135

Attorney for Debtors



CHANGES MADE BY COURT

SCHEDULES WITHOUT PREJUDICE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

NOT FOR PUBLICATION UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

IN RE: CASE NO. 2:16-bk-25473-RK OMAR COSIO AND MONICA COSIO. CHAPTER 7 ORDER DENYING DEBTORS' MOTION TO REOPEN **CLOSED CASE TO ALLOW DEBTORS TO** DEBTORS. TO AMEND THEIR PETITION AND

The court having considered Debtors' Motion to Reopen Closed Case to Allow Debtors to Amend their Petition and Schedules to Include an omitted creditor pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §350(b),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

Debtors' request to reopen this no asset, no bar date Chapter 7 bankruptcy case to add an omitted debt to their bankruptcy schedules is denied because reopening the case for this purpose would not result in any meaningful relief for Debtors because debt dischargeability is not affected by scheduling as held by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in its decision in *In re Beezley*, 994 F.2d 1433 (9th Cir. 1993), citing 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(3)(A) and (B), which decision is binding on this court being in the Ninth Circuit. As the Court of Appeals stated in *In re Beezley*, after a no

Case 2:16-bk-25473-RK Doc 20 Filed 09/20/18 Entered 09/20/18 11:47:30 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 2

asset, no bar date Chapter 7 bankruptcy case has been closed, which is the situation in this case, debt dischargeability is unaffected by scheduling, and an amendment of bankruptcy schedules to list an omitted debt would be a "pointless exercise". *Id.* at 1434. Denial of the motion to reopen this case is without prejudice to Debtors' filing a renewed motion to reopen for meaningful relief, such as bringing a motion for contempt for violation of the discharge injunction against a creditor for attempting to enforce a discharged debt pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9020 in accordance with the procedures of this court set forth in Local Bankruptcy Rule 9020-1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

###

Date: September 20, 2018

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge