Cas	e 2:14-bk-33027-RK Doc 15 Filed 08/27/15 Entered 08/27/15 17:02:32 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 3		
1			
2			FILED & ENTERED
3			AUG 27 2015
4			
5			CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT Central District of California BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK
6			
7	ORDER NOT FOR PUBLICATION		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LOS ANGELES DIVISION		
11	In re:	Case No	o. 2:14-bk-33027-RK
12	JAMONTE LARONE CLAY,	Chapter	7
13 14	Debtor.		GRANTING MOTION TO N CHAPTER 7 BANKRUPTCY
15		Date:	September 1, 2015
16		Time: Place:	
17			255 East Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
18			
19	Pending before the court is the Motion of Debtor Jamonte Larone Clay ("Debtor")		
20	to Reopen Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Case for Violation of Discharge Injunction under 11		
21	U.S.C. Sec. 524 ("Motion"). ECF 12. The Motion is set for hearing on September 1,		
22	2015 at 2:30 p.m. Bank of America, N.A., filed a written opposition to the Motion. ECF		
23	14.		
24	Having reviewed the moving and opposing papers, the court determines that		
25	pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 5010-1, which provides that a motion to reopen case		
26	may be ruled upon without hearing, that the movant must not calendar a hearing date fo		
27	the Motion and a hearing will not be held on the motion unless otherwise ordered by the		
28			

court, a hearing on the Motion is not required, nor necessary, takes the Motion under
 submission, vacates the hearing on September 1, 2015 as improvidently noticed by
 Debtor, and rules as follows.

- The court determines that the Motion to reopen case should be granted to
 accord relief to the debtor under 11 U.S.C. § 350(b) for the reasons stated in
 the moving papers, that is, to allow the debtor to file a substantive motion for
 enforcement of the discharge injunction in this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §
 524.
- 9 2. The objections of Bank of America to the Motion go to the merits of a 10 substantive motion which has yet to be filed in the case once it is reopened, 11 and therefore, should be overruled at this time, but without prejudice. 12 "Reopening a case, by itself, determines nothing with respect to the merits of 13 the case." 4 March, Ahart and Shapiro, California Practice Guide: Bankruptcy, ¶ 23:151 at 23-20 (2014), citing inter alia, In re Menk, 241 B.R. 896, 913 (9th 14 15 Cir. BAP 1999)("[T]he reopening of a closed bankruptcy case is a ministerial 16 act that functions primarily to enable the file to be maintained by the clerk as an 17 active matter and that, by itself, lacks independent legal significance and 18 determines nothing with respect to the merits of the case."). Bank of America 19 may renew any objections it has to any substantive motion to enforce the 20discharge injunction in due course if such a motion is filed and duly served on 21 it.
 - 3. The motion to reopen the case is granted, and the case is ordered reopened.
 - Debtor is granted 60 days leave from the date of entry of this order to file his substantive motion to enforce the discharge injunction.
 - The hearing on the Motion set for September 1, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. is vacated and taken off calendar. No appearances are required.
- 27 28

22

23

24

25

26

Case 2:14-bk-33027-RK Doc 15 Filed 08/27/15 Entered 08/27/15 17:02:32 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3

1 Although the court grants the Motion, the court observes that Debtor acted 2 contrary to Local Bankruptcy Rule 5010-1 in calendaring a hearing date for the 3 Motion without prior court authorization, which expressly provides that "[a] 4 motion to reopen may be ruled upon without a hearing pursuant to LBR 9013-5 1(q)" and "[t]he movant must not calendar a hearing date nor will a hearing be held on the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court." The court 6 7 admonishes counsel for Debtor, Beatriz Chen, of the Law Offices of Beatriz 8 Velezmoro Chen, who signed and filed the Motion, to read and obey the rules 9 of this court, including the Local Bankruptcy Rules. The court strongly urges 10 counsel for Debtor, Ms. Chen, to read and re-read the Local Bankruptcy Rules 11 until she is thoroughly familiar with them. Whenever counsel intends to file a 12 motion in this court in the future, she should re-read the applicable rules to 13 make sure the motion is in compliance before she files it. Further 14 transgressions of the court's rules may result in the imposition of sanctions. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 ### 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date: August 27, 2015

De

Robert Kwan United States Bankruptcy Judge