1

2

4

5

67

9

8

10

11

In re:

ADRIAN J. HERNANDEZ.

Debtor.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

OCT 08 2015

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

## **ORDER NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No. 2:12-bk-47099-RK

Chapter 7

ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF CREDITORS JAIME FARIAS AND MYRNA FARIAS TO REOPEN BANKRUPTCY CASE

Date: October 13, 2015 Time: 2:30 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 1675

Roybal Federal Building 255 East Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Pending before the court is the Motion of Creditors Jaime Farias and Myrna Farias ("Creditors") to Reopen Bankruptcy Case for Permission to File Adversary Complaint Against Debtor Adrian J. Hernandez ("Motion"). ECF 46. The Motion is set for hearing on October 13, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. Adrian J. Hernandez ("Debtor") filed an Opposition to the Motion, ECF 52, and Creditors filed a Reply to the Opposition, ECF 55.

The court, having reviewed the Motion, Debtor's Opposition to the Motion and Creditors' Reply to the Opposition, the declarations and exhibits attached therein, and the

record, vacates the October 13, 2015 hearing on the Motion, and determines that pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 5010-1, a hearing on the Motion is not required, nor necessary, takes the Motion under submission, vacates the hearing on October 13, 2015 as improvidently noticed by Creditors, and rules as follows.

- 1. The court determines that the Motion should be granted for "other cause" under 11 U.S.C. § 350(b) for the reasons stated in the moving papers, that is, for the limited purpose of allowing Creditors to file an adversary complaint against Debtor to determine whether Creditors' claims are non-dischargeable under 11 U.S.C. §§ 523(a)(2), (a)(4) and (a)(6) as they may be entitled to such relief available under the Bankruptcy Code. However, the court makes no determination of the merits of any such claims at this time since that would not be appropriate on a motion to reopen a bankruptcy case.
- 2. The Debtor's Opposition to the Motion goes to the merits of a complaint which has yet to be filed in the case once it is reopened, and therefore, should be overruled at this time, but without prejudice. "Reopening a case, by itself, determines nothing with respect to the merits of the case." 4 March, Ahart and Shapiro, California Practice Guide: Bankruptcy, ¶ 23:151 at 23-20 (2014), citing inter alia, In re Menk, 241 B.R. 896, 913 (9th Cir. BAP 1999) ("[T]he reopening of a closed bankruptcy case is a ministerial act that functions primarily to enable the file to be maintained by the clerk as an active matter and that, by itself, lacks independent legal significance and determines nothing with respect to the merits of the case.").
- The Motion is granted and the bankruptcy case is ordered reopened for the purpose described herein.
- 4. Creditors are granted 30 days from the date of entry of this order to file their complaint to determine non-dischargeability of their claims.

3

8 9

7

1112

10

13 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

- 5. The hearing on the Motion set for October 13, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. is vacated and taken off calendar. No appearances are required.
- Although the court grants the Motion, the court observes that Creditors acted contrary to Local Bankruptcy Rule 5010-1 by calendaring a hearing date for the Motion without prior court authorization, which expressly provides that "[a] motion to reopen may be ruled upon without a hearing pursuant to LBR 9013-1(g)" and "[t]he movant must not calendar a hearing date nor will a hearing be held on the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court. The court strongly urges counsel for Creditors, Michael Jay Berger, to read and re-read the Local Bankruptcy Rules until he is thoroughly familiar with them. This is not the first time Mr. Berger has been admonished by the court in this bankruptcy case for failure to comply with the Local Bankruptcy Rules. At the September 18, 2015 evidentiary hearing on the Debtor's Motion for Sanctions for Violation of the Discharge Injunction, the last hearing in this bankruptcy case, the court ordered Mr. Berger to read Local Bankruptcy Rule 9071-1 and file a declaration stating that he had done so. Mr. Berger is now ordered to read Local Bankruptcy Rule 5010-1 and file a declaration with the court stating that he has done so within 30 days of entry of this order. Further transgressions of the court's rules may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

###

Date: October 8, 2015

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge