1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

In re:

BISHOP,

Debtors.

MARSHACK, Chapter 7 Trustee,

FEDCHEX, LLC; FEDCHEX RECOVERY, LLC; ED ARNOLD; RODNEY DAVIS;

FEDCHEX/DS GROUP; YELLOW PAGES DIRECTORY SERVICES, LLC; YELLOW PAGES 2000, INC.; BSYB, INC.; NICHE

DIRECTORIES, LLC; CONVERGENTDS, LLC; DIRECT VISION; DS MARKETING; YK2000; iEXCHANGE,

FEDCHEX MERCHANT SERVICES;

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

FILED & ENTERED JUN 15 2016 **CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT** Central District of California BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No. 2:12-bk-16000-RK

Adv. No. 2:12-ap-01302-RK

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR

BRENNON TY BISHOP and MICHELLE Chapter 7

ELECTRONIC FUNDS SOLUTIONS, LLC, **ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF** as successor-in-interest to RICHARD A. CONTEMPT

Pending before the court is the motion of Plaintiff Electronic Funds Solutions ("Plaintiff") for an issuance of an order of contempt against the remaining defendants in this adversary proceeding, Rodney Davis, Ed Arnold, Fedchex Recovery, LLC and Fedchex, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") for violations of the automatic stay pursuant to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 9020 and 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) ("Motion"). ECF 563. Defendants filed an opposition to the Motion, ECF 571, and Plaintiff filed a reply thereto, ECF 574.

On December 2, 2014, at the initial hearing on the Motion, the court authorized the parties to file supplemental briefing on the Motion and set a further hearing on the Motion. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed its supplemental brief, ECF 579, and Defendants filed a response thereto, ECF 582. Defendants then filed further objections to the continued participation of FedChex, LLC in pending proceedings. ECF 594.

The hearing on the Motion was continued from time to time to allow the parties to engage in mediation and settlement discussions, to allow Plaintiff's then new counsel, Arent Fox LLP, adequate time to familiarize itself with the adversary proceeding and participate in mediation and settlement discussions, and, after the court later entered an order granting Arent Fox LLP's Motion to Withdraw as Plaintiff's Counsel, ECF 663, to allow Plaintiff additional time to find new counsel. Since at the time of the status conference on the Motion on May 11, 2016, Plaintiff had not retained new counsel, the court stated that it would deny the Motion without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 9011-2(a). Having considered the moving and opposing papers, the exhibits and declarations attached therein, the parties' oral arguments, and the record before the court, the court hereby denies the Motion without prejudice as follows.

Under Local Bankruptcy Rule 9011-2(a), "A . . . limited liability company . . . may not . . . appear without counsel in any case or proceeding" Further, under Local Bankruptcy Rule 9011-3(a), "[t]he violation of, or failure to conform to . . . these rules may subject the offending party or counsel to . . . dismissal of the case or proceeding." Because Plaintiff's counsel withdrew by order entered on February 19, 2016, ECF 633, and Plaintiff did not obtain new counsel by the May 11, 2016 status conference, as stated

Case 2:12-ap-01302-RK Doc 645 Filed 06/15/16 Entered 06/15/16 16:30:58 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3